Infinitesimal Automorphisms of VB-Groupoids and Algebroids

Chiara Esposito[*]
Institut für Mathematik
Lehrstuhl für Mathematik X
Universität Würzburg
Campus Hubland Nord
Emil-Fischer-Strasse 31
97074 Würzburg
Germany

Alfonso Giuseppe Tortorella[†]
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini"
Università degli Studi di Firenze
Viale Morgagni 67/a
50134 Firenze
Italy

Luca Vitagliano[‡]
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Salerno
via Giovanni Paolo II, 123
84084 Fisciano (SA)
Italy

arXiv:1611.06896v2 [math.DG] 30 Dec 2016

Abstract

VB-groupoids and algebroids are *vector bundle objects* in the categories of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids respectively, and they are related via the *Lie functor*. VB-groupoids and algebroids play a prominent role in Poisson and related geometries. In this paper we study their infinitesimal automorphisms, i.e. vector fields on them generating a flow of diffeomorphisms preserving both the linear and the groupoid/algebroid structures. For a special class of VB-groupoids/algebroids coming from representations of plain Lie groupoids/algebroids, we prove that infinitesimal automorphisms are the same as multiplicative sections of a certain derivation groupoid/algebroid.

[*] chiara.esposito@mathematik.uni-wuerzburg.de
[†] alfonso.tortorella@math.unifi.it
[‡] lvitagliano@unisa.it

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 VB-groupoids and algebroids **5**
2.1 VB-groupoids 5
2.2 VB-algebroids 7
2.3 Differentiation and Integration 9

3 Infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids and algebroids **10**
3.1 Derivations 10
3.2 Infinitesimal automorphisms and multiplicative derivations 12
3.3 The linear deformation complex of a VB-groupoid/algebroid 17

4 Multiplicative derivations of trivial-core VB-groupoids and algebroids **23**
4.1 VB-groupoids/algebroids and representations 23
4.2 The gauge algebroid of a trivial-core VB-groupoid/algebroid 25
4.3 Multiplicative derivations as multiplicative sections 30

5 Perspectives **34**

References **35**

1 Introduction

This is the first in a series of papers aiming at studying (multi)differential operators (DO) and jets on Lie groupoids. Here we study a class o first order DOs, namely *derivations of vector bundles* (also known as *covariant differential operators*). Derivations can be conveniently regarded as infinitesimal automorphisms of the vector bundle structure. So, in the realm of Lie groupoids, it is natural to look at infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids and their infinitesimal counterparts, VB-algebroids.

VB-groupoids are *groupoid objects in the category of vector bundles* or, equivalently, *vector bundle objects in the category of Lie groupoids* [5, 19, 35]. They play an important role in Poisson geometry and, more generally, in Lie groupoid theory. The tangent and the cotangent bundle of a Lie groupoid provide canonical examples. More generally, VB-groupoids can be seen as intrinsic models of two-terms representations up to homotopy of Lie groupoids [19]. For instance, the whole information about the *adjoint representation* (*up to homotopy*) of a Lie groupoid [2] is encoded in its tangent VB-groupoid. Accordingly, deformations of a Lie groupoid G are controlled by the *VB-groupoid complex* of TG [8].

The infinitesimal counterparts of VB-groupoids are VB-algebroids, i.e. *Lie algebroid objects in the category of vector bundles* or, equivalently, *vector bundle objects in the category of Lie algebroids* [5, 14, 18, 35]. The tangent and the cotangent bundle of a Lie algebroid provide canonical examples. Similarly as VB-groupoids, VB-algebroids can be seen as intrinsic models of two-terms representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids [18], the adjoint representation of a Lie algebroid is encoded by its tangent algebroid and deformations of a Lie algebroid A are controlled by the *VB-algebroid complex* of TA [9]. Additionally, the deformation complex of a Lie groupoid G and the deformation complex of its Lie algebroid A are intertwined by a Van Est map, which is yet another manifestation of the action of the *Lie functor* [8].

For their importance in Lie groupoid/algebroid theory, it is natural to look at infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids and how does the Lie functor intertwines them. Notice that infinitesimal automorphisms of a Lie groupoid are the same as *multiplicative vector fields* on it [36]. Similarly, infinitesimal automorphisms of a VB-groupoid are its *multiplicative derivations*. Multiplicative vector fields on Lie groupoids are rather important objects. In particular, their theory include the classical lifting of a vector field to its total lifts on the tangent and the cotangent bundle, which are of general interest in differential geometry [36]. We expect multiplicative derivations to play a similar importance. In this respect, this paper is also part of the rather active research line aiming at studying *multiplicative structures* on Lie groupoids and their infinitesimal counterparts (see [29] for a recent review), i.e. those geometric data which are compatible with the groupoid, resp. algebroid, structure.

The study of multiplicative structures was originally motivated by Poisson-Lie groups [13] and symplectic groupoids [7, 48, 50, 51], and was pursued by several authors. Recent research concentrated on multiplicative structures of tensorial type: multiplicative differential forms [4] and multivectors [20], multiplicative (1,1) tensors [44], multiplicative generalized complex structures [27] among the most general/important ones, with few exceptions: multiplicative foliations [24,26], multiplicative Dirac structures [25, 40, 41], multiplicative vector-bundle valued differential forms [10]. Non-tensorial multiplicative structures (other than the few examples listed above) have not been considered yet. In particular, a systematic investigation (including a definition) of multiplicative (multi)DOs, multiplicative jets, and their infinitesimal counterparts, is still unavailable.

There are at least two motivations to study multiplicative (multi)DOs and jets. One comes from Jacobi geometry and is represented by Jacobi-Lie groups [22], a wide generalization of Poisson-Lie groups, and contact groupoids (i.e. Lie groupoids equipped with a multiplicative contact structure [11, 28, 32]). A Jacobi structure on a manifold M is a line bundle $L \to M$ together with a Lie bracket on its sections which is also a first order biDO (see, e.g., [37]). Jacobi structures encompass Poisson, contact, and locally conformal symplectic structures as particular cases. One often studies the case

when the line bundle $L \to M$ is equipped with a global trivialization. E.g. Iglesias and Marrero adopt this approach to their definition of a Jacobi groupoid, i.e. a Lie groupoid with a compatible Jacobi structure [21,23]. However, probably, this is not the best choice from a conceptual point of view (see also [3]). Not to mention that there are important Jacobi structures whose underlying line bundle is not at all trivial. Studying Jacobi manifolds intrinsically does actually require the technology of derivations of vector bundles (see, e.g. [30, 45]). In particular, in order to define a Jacobi groupoid intrinsically, one needs to understand multiplicative (multi)derivations of vector bundles over Lie groupoids first. Here, we begin this program studying multiplicative derivations of a VB-groupoid. Multiplicative multiderivations will be addressed in a subsequent paper [15].

Our second motivation comes from the geometric theory of PDEs. Geometrically, a PDE is a submanifold in a jet space. Symmetries provide important geometric invariants of a PDE. Symmetries of a PDE form a Lie pseudogroup and the latter can be seen as a Lie subgroupoid of a jet groupoid. There are several natural (multi)DOs and jets attached to a jet space, in particular a jet groupoid [6, 10, 42, 49]. In the last case, it is likely that, when natural, a DO/jet is also multiplicative, in a suitable sense, thus falling in the class our program aims to define and study. Additionally, zero loci of multiplicative DOs will provide an important class of PDEs on sections of vector bundles over Lie groupoids.

The paper is divided into three main sections. Section 2 is a short review of VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids. Besides the book by Mackenzie [35], our main references for this material are [5,18,19]. A *VB-groupoid* $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \rightrightarrows & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array}$$

where the rows are Lie groupoids, the colums are vector bundles and all the vector bundle structure maps are Lie groupoid morphisms. Similarly, a *VB-algebroid* $(W, E; A, M)$ is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A & \longrightarrow & M \end{array}$$

where the rows are Lie algebroids, the columns are vector bundles and all the vector bundle structure maps are Lie algebroid morphisms. VB-algebroids correspond to VB-groupoids via the *Lie functor*.

In Section 3 we define and begin our study of *multiplicative derivations*. Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid, and let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid. We say that a derivation of $\Omega \to G$ is *multiplicative* if it generates a flow of VB-groupoid automorphisms. Similarly, a derivation of $W \to A$ is *infinitesimal multiplicative* (*IM*) if it generates a flow of VB-algebroid automorphisms. It immediately follows that, if the Lie functor maps $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ to $(W, E; A, M)$, then it also maps multiplicative derivations of $\Omega \to G$ to IM derivations of $W \to A$. Additionally, if $G \rightrightarrows M$ is source simply connected (hence $\Omega \rightrightarrows E$ is source simply connected [5]) then the Lie functor establishes a one-to-one correspondence between multiplicative derivations of $\Omega \to G$ and IM derivations of $W \to A$.

Our main result in Section 3 is the following algebraic description of IM derivations of a VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$:

Theorem A (see Theorem 3.19) *There is a one-to-one correspondence between IM derivations of $W \to A$ and triples $(\delta_A, \delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ consisting of*

i.) a differential δ_A of the Lie algebroid A,

ii.) a differential $\delta_{\widehat{A}}$ of the fat algebroid $\widehat{A}$ of $(W, E; A, M)$, and

iii.) a derivation δ_E of E, such that

$$\pi \circ \delta_{\widehat{A}} = \delta_A \circ \pi,$$

$$\sigma(\delta_{\widehat{A}}) = \sigma(\delta_E),$$

and

$$[\delta_E, \psi^s_{\tilde{a}}] = \psi^s_{\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}},$$

for all $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$. Here σ is the symbol and ψ^s is the side representation *of $\widehat{A}$ [18].*

Theorem A parallels a result by Mackenzie and Xu in [36] stating that there is a one-to-one correspondence between IM vector fields on a Lie algebroid and Lie algebroid differentials. We also prove that multiplicative derivations (resp. IM derivations) are 1-cocycles in a certain *linear* subcomplex of the *deformation complex* of the Lie groupoid Ω (resp. Lie algebroid W) (Proposition 3.22, resp. Corollary 3.29).

Section 4 is the heart of the paper. Derivations of a vector bundle $E \to M$ are sections of the *gauge algebroid* $\mathsf{D}E \to M$ (see Section 3.1). Unfortunately, unlike the tangent bundle of a groupoid (resp. algebroid), the gauge algebroid of a VB-groupoid (resp. algebroid) is not a groupoid itself. Hence, unlike multiplicative vector fields [36], multiplicative (resp. IM) derivations can not be directly characterized, in general, as VB-groupoid (resp. VB-algebroid) morphisms. In Section 4 we restrict to a particularly simple class of VB-groupoids/algebroids in which this characterization makes sense, namely *trivial core VB-groupoids/algebroids*. Our main results therein can be summarized in the following two theorems:

Theorem B (see Propositions 4.10, 4.12, and 4.13)

i.) Let $(E_G, E; G, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid, and let $\mathsf{D}E_G \to G$ be the gauge algebroid of the vector bundle $E_G \to E$. Then $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ is a VB-groupoid in a natural way.

ii.) Let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-algebroid, and let $\mathsf{D}E_A \to A$ be the gauge algebroid of the vector bundle $E_A \to E$. Then $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}A; G, M)$ is a VB-algebroid in a natural way.

iii.) If $(E_A, E; G, M)$ is the (trivial-core) VB-algebroid of $(E_G, E; G, M)$, then $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}A; G, M)$ is canonically isomorphic to the VB-algebroid of $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$.

Theorem C (see Theorems 4.15 and 4.16)

i.) Let $(E_G, E; G, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid. A derivation of $E_G \to G$ is multiplicative iff it is a multiplicative section *of $\mathsf{D}E_G \to G$, i.e. it is a morphism of Lie groupoids: $G \to \mathsf{D}E_G$.*

ii.) Let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-algebroid. A derivation of $E_A \to A$ is an IM derivation of $E_A \to A$ iff it is an IM section *of $\mathsf{D}E_A \to A$, i.e. it is a morphism of Lie algebroids: $A \to \mathsf{D}E_A$.*

Notice that the above two theorems parallel similar results for the tangent VB-groupoid and multiplicative vector fields [36].

2 VB-groupoids and algebroids

2.1 VB-groupoids

In this section, we recall basic aspects of the theory of VB-groupoids and VB-algebroids. We refer to [5, 18, 19, 35] for more details. Given a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, we usually denote by $s, t : G \to M$

the source and target respectively, by $u : M \to G$ the unit, by $G^{(2)} \subset G \times G$ the submanifold of composable arrows, by $m : G^{(2)} \to G$ the multiplication, and by $i : G \to G$ the inversion. Alternatively, we denote the unit by $x \mapsto 1_x$, the multiplication by $(g, h) \mapsto gh$, and the inversion by $g \mapsto g^{-1}$. For $g \in G$, we write $g : x_1 \to x_2$ to mean that $s(g) = x_1$ and $t(g) = x_2$. Finally, we identify M with its image under u. Consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \rightrightarrows & E \\ {\scriptstyle q_\Omega}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ G & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

where the rows are Lie groupoids and the columns are vector bundles. We denote by $\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{i}$ the structure maps of the top row (source, target, unit, multiplication, inversion respectively), and by s, t, u, m, i the structure maps of the bottom row. Additionally, we denote by $+_\Omega : \Omega \oplus_G \Omega \to \Omega$ and $+_E : E \oplus_M E \to E$ the additions, by $\lambda\cdot_\Omega : \Omega \to \Omega$ and $\lambda\cdot_E : E \to E$ the scalar multiplication, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and by $0_\Omega : G \to \Omega$ and $0_E : M \to E$ the zero sections. Notice that throughout this paper, for any vector bundle $q : V \to N$, we will denote by s_x the value of a section $s \in \Gamma(V)$ at a point $x \in N$.

Definition 2.1 (VB-groupoid) A *VB-groupoid* is a *vector bundle object in the category of Lie groupoids*, i.e. a diagram like (2.1) such that (q_Ω, q) and $(+_\Omega, +_E)$ are Lie groupoid morphisms.

Equivalently, a VB-groupoid is a *Lie groupoid object in the category of vector bundles*, i.e. a diagram (2.1) such that $(\tilde{s}, s)$, $(\tilde{t}, t)$ and $(\tilde{m}, m)$ are morphisms of vector bundles.

Notice that, it follows from the definition, $(\lambda\cdot_\Omega, \lambda\cdot_E)$ and $(0_\Omega, 0_E)$ are also Lie groupoid morphisms, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and that $(\tilde{u}, u)$ and $(\tilde{i}, i)$ are also vector bundle morphisms. In a VB-groupoid (2.1) it holds the following *interchange law*:

$$(\omega_1 + \omega_2)(\omega_1' + \omega_2') = \omega_1\omega_1' + \omega_2\omega_2' \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_1', \omega_2' \in \Omega$ such that (2.2) makes sense.

When there is no risk of ambiguity, we denote simply by $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ (or even just Ω) a VB-groupoid, thus understanding all structure maps. Let $(\Omega_1, E_1; G_1, M_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, E_2; G_2, M_2)$ be VB-groupoids.

Definition 2.2 (VB-groupoid morphism) A *morphism of VB-groupoids*

$$(F_\Omega, \tilde{F}; F_G, F) : (\Omega_1, E_1; G_1, M_1) \to (\Omega_2, E_2; G_2, M_2) \tag{2.3}$$

is a (commutative) diagram



(2.4)

such that

i.) $(F_\Omega, \tilde{F})$ and (F_G, F) are morphisms of groupoids, and

ii.) (F_Ω, F_G) and $(\tilde{F}, F)$ are morphisms of vector bundles.

Map F_Ω in (2.3) determines the morphism completely. Accordingly, sometimes we say that $F_\Omega : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ is a morphism of VB-groupoids.

Remark 2.3 (Core and the dual VB-groupoid) Recall that the (*left*) *core* of a VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is the vector bundle $C \to M$ defined by putting

$$C := \{\omega \in \Omega : \tilde{s}(\omega) = 0, \text{ and } q_\Omega(\omega) = 1\}. \tag{2.5}$$

in other words, $C = u^*(\ker \tilde{s}) = \ker \tilde{s}|_M$ is the pull-back of the vector subbundle $\ker \tilde{s} \subset \Omega$ along the unit. There is a similar notion of right core where the role of the source and the target are interchanged. It turns out that the left and the right cores are canonically isomorphic as vector bundles. Hence they are manifestations of a unique vector bundle which can be safely referred to as the *core*.

The dual vector bundle Ω^* is a Lie groupoid over C^*, and, actually, $(\Omega^*, C^*; G, M)$ is a VB-groupoid, the *dual VB-groupoid* of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$. Additionally VB-groupoids Ω and Ω^{**} are canonically isomorphic. We refer to [35] for more details on this construction. Here we only notice that, given a VB-groupoid isomorphism $F_\Omega : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$, its transpose $F_\Omega^* : \Omega_2^* \to \Omega_1^*$ is a VB-groupoid isomorphism as well. In particular, VB-groupoid automorphisms $\Omega \to \Omega$ are equivalent to VB-groupoid automorphisms $\Omega^* \to \Omega^*$.

Example 2.4 (Tangent VB-groupoid) Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. Then $(TG, TM; G, M)$ is a VB-groupoid, called the *tangent VB-groupoid to* G, in a natural way: the structure maps are the tangent maps to the structure maps of G. The core of $(TG, TM; G, M)$ is A.

2.2 VB-algebroids

We now turn to the infinitesimal counterpart of VB-groupoids, the so-called VB-algebroids. First of all, given a Lie algebroid $A \to M$, we usually denote by $\rho : A \to TM$ the anchor and by $[-,-] : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A)$ the Lie bracket.

Consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & E \\ {\scriptstyle q_W}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & M \end{array} \tag{2.6}$$

where the rows and the columns are vector bundles. We denote by $+_{W,A} : W \oplus_A W \to W$, $+_{W,E} : W \oplus_E W \to W$ the additions, by $\lambda\cdot_{W,A} : W \to W$ and $\lambda\cdot_{W,E} : W \to W$ the scalar multiplications (in the fibers over A and E respectively), $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and by $0_{W,A} : A \to W$ and $0_{W,E} : E \to W$ the zero sections.

Remark 2.5 (Core and linear sections of a double vector bundle) If the maps (q_W, q) and $(+_{W,A}, +_E)$ are vector bundle morphisms, or, equivalently $(\tilde{p}, p)$ and $(+_{W,E}, +_A)$ are vector bundle morphisms, then (2.6) is a *double vector bundle* [35, Chapter 9] and it follows that $(\lambda\cdot_{W,A}, \lambda\cdot_E)$, $(0_{W,A}, 0_E)$, $(\lambda\cdot_{W,E}, \lambda\cdot_A)$ and $(0_{W,E}, 0_A)$ are also vector bundle morphisms. Recall that the core of a double vector bundle (2.6) is the vector bundle

$$C := \ker \tilde{p} \cap \ker q_W \to M. \tag{2.7}$$

Vector bundle $W \to E$ has two distinguished classes of sections:

i.) core sections: for every section χ of the core $C \to M$, there is a section $\widehat{\chi}$ of $W \to E$ defined by:

$$\widehat{\chi}_e := (0_{W,E})_e +_{W,A} \chi_{q(e)} \tag{2.8}$$

for all $e \in E$.

ii.) *linear sections*: they are sections $\tilde{a}$ of $W \to E$ such that $(\tilde{a}, a)$ is a vector bundle morphism for a (necessarily unique) section a of A.

In the following, we denote by $\Gamma(W, E)$ the space of all sections, by $\Gamma_c(W, E)$ the subspace of core sections and by $\Gamma_\ell(W, E)$ the subspace of linear sections of $W \to E$.

From now on, we assume, additionally, that the rows of (2.6) are Lie algebroids. We will denote by $\tilde{\rho} : W \to TE$ the anchor.

Definition 2.6 (VB-algebroid) A *VB-algebroid* is a *vector bundle object in the category of Lie algebroids*, i.e. a diagram like (2.6) such that (q_W, q) and $(+_{W,A}, +_E)$ are Lie algebroid morphisms.

Equivalently, a VB-algebroid is a *Lie algebroid object in the category of vector bundles*, i.e. a diagram (2.6) such that $(\tilde{p}, p)$, $(+_{W,E}, +_A)$ and $(\tilde{\rho}, \rho)$ are morphisms of vector bundles, and the Lie bracket in $\Gamma(W, E)$ satisfies the following *linearity conditions*:

i.) $[\Gamma_\ell(W, E), \Gamma_\ell(W, E)] \subset \Gamma_\ell(W, E)$,

ii.) $[\Gamma_\ell(W, E), \Gamma_c(W, E)] \subset \Gamma_c(W, E)$,

iii.) $[\Gamma_c(W, E), \Gamma_c(W, E)] = 0$.

We will often denote simply by $(W, E; A, M)$ a VB-algebroid and when there is no risk of ambiguity we will simply use W.

Let $(W_1, E_1; A_1, M_1)$ and $(W_2, E_2; A_2, M_2)$ be VB-algebroids.

Definition 2.7 (VB-algebroid morphism) A *morphism of VB-algebroids*

$$(F_W, \tilde{F}; F_A, F) : (W_1, E_1; A_1, M_1) \to (W_2, E_2; A_2, M_2) \tag{2.9}$$

is a (commutative) diagram



(2.10)

such that

i.) $(F_W, \tilde{F})$ and (F_A, F) are morphisms of Lie algebroids, and

ii.) (F_W, F_A) and $(\tilde{F}, F)$ are morphisms of vector bundles.

Map F_W in (2.10) determines the morphism completely. Accordingly, we sometimes say that $F_W : W_1 \to W_2$ is a morphism of VB-algebroids.

Let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid with core $C \to M$. Then, the dual W^* of vector bundle $W \to A$ is a Lie algebroid over C^*, and, actually, $(W^*, C^*; A, M)$ is a VB-algebroid, the *dual VB-algebroid* of $(W, E; A, M)$. Additionally VB-algebroids W and W^{**} are canonically isomorphic. We refer to [35] again for more details. Here we only notice that, similarly as for VB-groupoids, given a VB-algebroid isomorphism $F_W : W_1 \to W_2$, the dual vector bundle isomorphism $F_W^* : W_2^* \to W_1^*$ is a VB-algebroid isomorphism as well. Hence VB-algebroid automorphisms $W \to W$ are equivalent to VB-algebroid automorphisms $W^* \to W^*$.

Example 2.8 (Tangent VB-algebroid) Let $A \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie algebroid. Then $(TA, TM; A, M)$ is a VB-algebroid, called the *tangent VB-algebroid to* A, in a natural way: the projection $TA \to TM$

and the anchor $\tilde{\rho} : TA \to TTM$ are the tangent map to the projection $A \to M$ and the anchor $\rho : A \to TM$, and the Lie bracket

$$[-,-] : \Gamma(TA, TM) \times \Gamma(TA, TM) \to \Gamma(TA, TM) \tag{2.11}$$

is uniquely defined by its action on certain distinguished sections, as follows. First notice that $(TA, TM; A, M)$ is a double vector bundle with core canonically isomorphic to A itself. The isomorphism identifies the tangent space to a fiber of $A \to M$ at the origin, with the fiber itself. Now, consider the following distinguished classes of sections of $TA \to TM$:

i.) core sections,

ii.) linear sections of the form $da : TM \to TA$ for some $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

We denote by $\widehat{a}_T : TM \to TA$ the core section corresponding to $a \in \Gamma(A)$, seen as a section of the core. We have

$$(\widehat{a}_T)_v = (d0_A)(v) + a^V_{(0_A)_x}$$

for all $v \in T_xM$, $x \in M$, where $a^V \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is the *vertical lift of* a. Then bracket (2.11) is completely determined by

$$\begin{aligned} [da, db] &= d[a, b], \\ [da, \widehat{b}_T] &= \widehat{[a, b]}_T, \\ [\widehat{a}_T, \widehat{b}_T] &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$.

2.3 Differentiation and Integration

We conclude this section discussing briefly *differentiation* and *integration* issues. We refer to [5] for details. Denote by Lie the Lie functor mapping Lie groupoids to Lie algebroids (and Lie groupoid morphisms to Lie algebroid morphisms). Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid. We denote by $W = \mathsf{Lie}(\Omega)$ the Lie algebroid of Ω, and by $A = \mathsf{Lie}(G)$ the Lie algebroid of G. Then $(W, E; A, M)$ is a VB-algebroid in a natural (and obvious) way and we also denote it by $\mathsf{Lie}(\Omega, E; G, M)$. Additionally,

i.) $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ and $(W, E; A, M)$ have canonically isomorphic cores (we denote both by C), and

ii.) $\mathsf{Lie}(\Omega^*, C^*; G, M) \simeq (W^*, C^*; A, M)$ canonically.

Similarly, the Lie functor maps morphisms of VB-groupoids to morphisms of VB-algebroids.

Conversely, let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid. If W is integrable and $\Omega \rightrightarrows E$ is its source simply connected integration, then 1) A is integrable as well, and, denoted by G its source simply connected integration, 2) $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is a VB-groupoid in a natural way. Finally, if (Ω', E', G', M') is another VB-groupoid, (W', E', A', M') is its VB-algebroid and $F_W : W \to W'$ is a morphism of VB-algebroids, then F_W integrates to a unique morphism $F_\Omega : \Omega \to \Omega'$ of VB-groupoids.

Remark 2.9 Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and $A = \mathsf{Lie}(G)$ its Lie algebroid. Then the VB-algebroid of the tangent VB-groupoid to G, is (canonically isomorphic to) the tangent VB-algebroid to A: $\mathsf{Lie}(TG, TM; G, M) = (TA, TM; A, M)$.

Remark 2.10 (VB-groupoids/algebroids and homogeneity structures) There is an alternative definition of a VB-groupoid/algebroid that is often useful in practice. Namely, a vector bundle is the same as a manifold E equipped with a *regular homogeneity structure*, i.e. a *regular* action $h : \mathbb{R} \times E \to E$ of the monoid $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$. The base manifold is then $M = h(0, E)$ and the projection $E \to M$ is $h(0, -)$. The infinitesimal generator of h is the *Euler vector field* on E. We will not explain here the word *regular*: we refer to [17] for more details (see also [5, Definition 2.1.1]). Similarly, a VB-groupoid (resp. algebroid) is equivalent to a groupoid $\Omega \rightrightarrows E$ (resp. algebroid $W \to E$) equipped

with a regular action $h : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \to \Omega$ (resp. $h : \mathbb{R} \times W \to W$) of the monoid $(\mathbb{R}, \cdot)$ with the additional property that $h(t, -) : \Omega \to \Omega$ (resp. $h(t, -) : W \to W$) is a Lie groupoid (resp. algebroid) morphism for all t. In particular, a diagram like (2.1) is a VB-groupoid iff $t\cdot_\Omega : \Omega \to \Omega$ (multiplication by t in the fibers of $\Omega \to G$) is a groupoid morphism for all t, and similarly for VB-algebroids.

3 Infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids and algebroids

3.1 Derivations

Let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle. A *derivation* of E is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear operator $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ such that

$$\Delta(fe) = X(f)e + f\Delta(e) \tag{3.1}$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and some (necessarily unique) vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. We call X the *symbol* of Δ, and denote it by $\sigma(\Delta)$ or σ_Δ. In the literature, derivations of a vector bundle are referred to with different names, including *der-operators* [12], and *covariant differential operators* [35]

Derivations of E are sections of a vector bundle $\mathsf{D}E \to M$. A point of $\mathsf{D}E$ over a point $x \in M$, called a *derivation* of E at x, is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear map $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \to E_x$ such that

$$\Delta(fe) = v(f)e_x + f(x)\Delta(e) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and some (necessarily unique) tangent vector $v \in T_xM$. We also call v the symbol of Δ, and denote it by $\sigma(\Delta)$.

Not only $\mathsf{D}E \to M$ is a vector bundle. It is actually a Lie algebroid: the *Atiyah* or *gauge algebroid of* E. The Lie bracket of two derivations is the commutator, and the anchor is the symbol $\sigma : \mathsf{D}E \to TM$, $\Delta \mapsto \sigma(\Delta)$. From now on we identify sections of $\mathsf{D}E \to M$ and derivations of E. In particular, we denote by $\Gamma(\mathsf{D}E)$ the space of derivations of E. Notice that a *representation* of a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ can be regarded as a vector bundle $E \to M$ equipped with a Lie algebroid morphism $A \to DE$.

The kernel of the symbol $\sigma : \mathsf{D}E \to TM$ consists of vector bundle endomorphisms of E. Accordingly, there is a short exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathsf{End}\, E \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}E \xrightarrow{\sigma} TM \longrightarrow 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.1 The correspondence $E \mapsto \mathsf{D}E$ is functorial. To see this, first recall that a vector bundle morphism is *regular* if it is an isomorphism on fibers. A regular vector bundle morphism $\phi_E : E_N \to E$ covering a smooth map $\phi : N \to M$ allows us to *pull-back* sections of E to sections of E_N. Specifically, let $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Its pull-back along ϕ_E is the section $\phi_E^* e$ defined by

$$(\phi_E^* e)_y = \phi_E|^{-1}_{(E_N)_x}(e_{\phi(y)}). \tag{3.4}$$

Notice that we can use a regular vector bundle morphism $\phi_E : E_N \to E$ covering $\phi : N \to M$ to identify E_N with the pull-back bundle $\phi^*E = N \times_M E$ in a canonical way. In the following, we always make use of this identification. In particular, we denote $\phi^*E = E_N$, and denote by $\phi_E : \phi^*E \to E$ the canonical map. Sometimes, if there is no risk of confusion, we also denote simply by ϕ^*e the pull-back section $\phi_E^* e$. Now, let $E \to M$ and $E_N \to N$ be vector bundles, and let $\phi_E : E_N \to E$ be a *regular* vector bundle morphism, covering the smooth map $\phi : N \to M$. Morphism ϕ_E determines a (generically non regular) vector bundle morphism

$$\mathsf{D}\phi_E : \mathsf{D}E_N \to \mathsf{D}E, \tag{3.5}$$

covering $\phi : N \to M$ by

$$\mathsf{D}\phi_E(\square)(e) = (\phi_E \circ \Delta)(\phi^* e) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}E_N$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Clearly, diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{End}\, E_N & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}E_N & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & TN & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{End}\,\phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathsf{D}\phi_E} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T\phi} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{End}\, E & \longrightarrow & \mathsf{D}E & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & TM & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

commutes. Here $\mathsf{End}\,\phi = \phi_{\mathsf{End}\,E}$ is the obvious regular vector bundle morphism. Even more, $\mathsf{D}\phi_E : \mathsf{D}E_N \to \mathsf{D}E$ is actually a morphism of Lie algebroids (covering ϕ). We will often denote $\mathsf{D}\phi_E$ simply by $\mathsf{D}\phi$, if there is no risk of confusion.

The case when $\phi : N \to M$ is the inclusion of a submanifold is of a special interest. In this case $E_N = E|_N$ and $\mathsf{D}\phi : \mathsf{D}E_N \to \mathsf{D}E$ is an embedding whose image consists of derivations of E whose symbol is tangent to N.

There is another way to understand derivations of a vector bundle $p : E \to M$. They can be regarded as *infinitesimal automorphisms* of E. A vector field $\tilde{X}$ on the total space E of the vector bundle $E \to M$ is an infinitesimal automorphism if it generates a flow of vector bundle automorphisms. Equivalently, $\tilde{X}$ is *linear*, i.e. preserves fiber-wise linear functions on E, i.e. sections of $\Gamma(E^*)$. In particular, $\tilde{X}$ projects onto a vector field $p_*\tilde{X}$ on M.

Remark 3.2 Infinitesimal automorphisms of E correspond bijectively to derivations of E. To see this, recall, first of all, that the gauge algebroids $\mathsf{D}E$ and $\mathsf{D}E^*$ are canonically isomorphic. The isomorphism identifies a derivation $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(E)$ with derivation Δ^* of E defined by

$$\langle \Delta^*(\varphi), e\rangle = \sigma(\Delta)\langle \varphi, e\rangle - \langle \varphi, \Delta e\rangle, \tag{3.8}$$

for all $\varphi \in \Gamma(E^*)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E)$, where $\langle -, -\rangle : E^* \otimes E \to \mathbb{R}$ is the duality pairing. It is easy to see that $\Delta^{**} = \Delta$ for all $\Delta \in \Gamma(\mathsf{D}E)$. Now, there is a bijection between infinitesimal automorphisms and derivations of E, mapping $\tilde{X}$ to $\Delta_{\tilde{X}} := \tilde{X}|^*_{\Gamma(E^*)}$, where we identified fiber-wise linear functions on E and sections of E^*. Clearly, $\sigma(\Delta_{\tilde{X}}) = p_*\tilde{X}$. Additionally, bijection $\tilde{X} \mapsto \Delta_{\tilde{X}}$ is $C^\infty(M)$-linear and commutator-preserving. It follows that the flow $\{\tilde{\phi}_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ of $\tilde{X}$ is uniquely determined by the following ODE

$$\Delta(e) = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \tilde{\phi}^*_\epsilon e \tag{3.9}$$

In this sense, Δ generates the flow $\{\tilde{\phi}_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ of automorphisms of E. We denote by $\Delta \mapsto X_\Delta$ the inverse correspondence.

In view of the above remark, we can make sense of "compatibility of two derivations wrt a, non-necessarily regular, vector bundle morphism". Namely, let $E_1 \to M_1$ and $E_2 \to M_2$ be vector bundles, and let $\tilde{F} : E_1 \to E_2$ be a vector bundle morphism covering a smooth map $F : M_1 \to M_2$. If Δ_1 and Δ_2 are derivations of E_1 and E_2, respectively, we say that Δ_1 and Δ_2 are $\tilde{F}$-*related* if X_{Δ_1} and X_{Δ_2} are $\tilde{F}$-related. The latter condition can be actually restated in terms of the sole Δ_1, Δ_2. Indeed, the pull-back along $\tilde{F}$ maps fiber-wise linear functions on E_2 to fiber-wise linear functions on E_1. Accordingly, there is a well-defined map $\tilde{F}^* : \Gamma(E_2^*) \to \Gamma(E_1^*)$, and Δ_1 and Δ_2 are $\tilde{F}$-related iff

$$\Delta_1^* \circ \tilde{F}^* = \tilde{F}^* \circ \Delta_2^*, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\sigma(\Delta_1) \circ F^* = F^* \circ \sigma(\Delta_2). \tag{3.11}$$

If $\tilde{F}$ is a surjective submersion, then (3.11) follows directly from (3.10), Δ_1 completely determines Δ_2 via (3.10) and we write $\Delta_2 = \tilde{F}_*\Delta_1$. In this case $\sigma(\Delta_1) = F_*\sigma(\Delta_2)$.

Remark 3.3 There are at least two more characterizations of infinitesimal automorphisms of a vector bundle $E \to M$. Namely, let $h : \mathbb{R} \times E \to E$ be the (regular) homogeneity structure encoding the vector bundle structure (see Remark 2.10), and let $\mathcal{E}_E$ be its infinitesimal generator, i.e. the Euler vector field on E. A vector field $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is an infinitesimal automorphism iff $h(t,-)_* X = X$, for all t, iff $[\mathcal{E}_E, X] = 0$.

3.2 Infinitesimal automorphisms and multiplicative derivations

Recall that a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ on a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is *multiplicative* if it generates a flow of groupoid automorphisms. We begin this section adapting this notion to the case of VB-groupoids.

Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega & \rightrightarrows & E \\ {\scriptstyle q_\Omega}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ G & \rightrightarrows & M \end{array}$$

Definition 3.4 (Infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-groupoids) A vector field X_Ω on Ω is said to be an *infinitesimal automorphism* of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ if it generates a flow of VB-groupoid automorphisms.

Remark 3.5 Let $X_\Omega \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ be an infinitesimal automorphism of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$. It immediately follows from the definition that X_Ω projects onto vector fields

- $X_G = (q_\Omega)_* X_\Omega$ on G,
- $\tilde{X} = \tilde{s}_* X_\Omega = \tilde{t}_* X_\Omega$ on E,
- $X = s_* X_G = t_* X_G = q_* \tilde{X}$ on M.

Additionally, X_G is a multiplicative vector field on G, and $\tilde{X}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of vector bundle $E \to M$. On the other hand, X_Ω corresponds to a derivation Δ_Ω of vector bundle $\Omega \to G$, and $\tilde{X}$ corresponds to a derivation Δ of vector bundle $E \to M$. Finally Δ_Ω and Δ are both $\tilde{s}$ and $\tilde{t}$-related: $\Delta = \tilde{s}_* \Delta_\Omega = \tilde{t}_* \Delta_\Omega$.

Definition 3.6 (Multiplicative derivations) A derivation Δ_Ω of $\Omega \to G$ is *multiplicative* if the corresponding linear vector field $X_{\Delta_\Omega} \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$.

Let Δ_Ω be a multiplicative derivation of $\Omega \to G$. It follows from Remark 3.5 that

- there is a derivation Δ of $E \to M$ such that $\Delta = \tilde{s}_* \Delta_\Omega = \tilde{t}_* \Delta_\Omega$,
- the symbol of Δ_Ω is a multiplicative vector field on G projecting on the symbol of Δ (via both s and t).

Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid, and let $(W, E; A, M)$ be the associated VB-algebroid. Take a linear section $\tilde{a} : E \to W$ of $W \to E$, covering a section a of $A \to M$, and let $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}$ and $\overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ be the associated right and left invariant vector fields on Ω.

Proposition 3.7 *Both $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}, \overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ are linear vector fields on Ω.*

PROOF: Consider $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}$ first. We want to show that $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)$ is a fiber-wise linear function, for any fiber-wise linear function ϕ on Ω. So let $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ and $g \in G$ be as showed in the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} e_1 & \xrightarrow{\omega} & e \\ {\scriptstyle q_\Omega}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ x_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & x \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} e'_1 & \xrightarrow{\omega'} & e' \\ {\scriptstyle q_\Omega}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ x_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & x \end{array}$$

let $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$, and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)(r\omega + r\omega') &= \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}_{r\omega+r'\omega'}(\phi) \\ &= dR_{r\omega+r'\omega'}(\tilde{a}_{re+r'e'})(\phi) \\ &= \tilde{a}_{re+r'e'}(\phi \circ R_{r\omega+r'\omega'}) \\ &= (r \cdot \tilde{a}_e + r' \cdot \tilde{a}_{e'})(\phi \circ R_{r\omega+r'\omega'}), \end{aligned}$$

where R denotes right translations in Ω, and, in the last line, the $\cdot$ and $+$ appearing in $r \cdot \tilde{a}_e + r' \cdot \tilde{a}_{e'}$ are scalar multiplication and addition in the fibers of $W \to A$, and we used the linearity of $\tilde{a}$. We can choose a curve $\tilde{g}(\epsilon)$ in $s^{-1}(x_2)$, and curves $\tilde{\omega}(\epsilon)$, $\tilde{\omega}'(\epsilon)$ in $\tilde{s}^{-1}(e)$ and $\tilde{s}^{-1}(e')$ respectively, covering $\tilde{g}(\epsilon)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}(0) = x, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \tilde{g}(\epsilon) = a_x, \\ \tilde{\omega}(0) = e, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \tilde{\omega}(\epsilon) = \tilde{a}_e, \\ \tilde{\omega}'(0) = e', \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \tilde{\omega}'(\epsilon) = \tilde{a}_{e'}. \end{aligned}$$

In this way

$$r \cdot \tilde{a}_e + r' \cdot \tilde{a}_{e'} = \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} r\tilde{\omega}(\epsilon) + r'\tilde{\omega}'(\epsilon)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)(r\omega + r\omega') &= \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\phi \circ \tilde{m})(r\tilde{\omega}(\epsilon) + r'\tilde{\omega}'(\epsilon), r\omega + r'\omega') \\ &= r\,\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\phi \circ \tilde{m})(\tilde{\omega}(\epsilon), \omega) + r'\,\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} (\phi \circ \tilde{m})(\tilde{\omega}'(\epsilon), \omega') \\ &= r\tilde{a}_e(\phi \circ R_\omega) + r'\tilde{a}_{e'}(\phi \circ R_{\omega'}) \\ &= r\,\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)(\omega) + r'\,\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)(\omega') \end{aligned}$$

where we used the linearity of ϕ, and $\tilde{m}$ (the multiplication in Ω). This shows that $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}(\phi)$ is indeed fiber-wise linear. It follows from the arbitrariness of ϕ that $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}$ is a linear vector field on Ω. A similar computation shows that $\overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ is a linear vector field as well. We leave details to the reader. □

Example 3.8 It follows from Proposition 3.7, that $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}$ and $\overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ correspond to derivations of $\Omega \to G$, denoted $\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\tilde{a}}$ and $\overleftarrow{\Delta}_{\tilde{a}}$, respectively. In particular, $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}} + \overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ is a linear vector field which is additionally multiplicative. Hence it corresponds to a multiplicative derivation of Ω, namely $\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\tilde{a}} + \overleftarrow{\Delta}_{\tilde{a}}$, also denoted $\delta\tilde{a}$ and called an *internal derivation*. By duality, $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}} + \overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ does also correspond to a multiplicative derivation of Ω^*. Similarly, let C be the core of Ω. Then a linear section of $W^* \to C^*$ determines a multiplicative derivation of both Ω and Ω^*.

Remark 3.9 There is an important characterization of multiplicative vector fields on a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$. Namely, a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(G)$ is multiplicative iff, when regarded as a section $X : G \to TG$ of the tangent VB-groupoid, it is a morphism of Lie groupoids [36]. This suggests the following definition. Given a VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$, a section s of $\Omega \to G$ is *multiplicative* if it is a Lie groupoid morphism. Now, a similar characterization as for multiplicative vector fields cannot take place, in general, for multiplicative derivations. The reason is that the gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}\Omega$ is *not* a VB-groupoid itself, if $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is a *generic* VB-groupoid. In Section 4 we discuss a particularly simple, but still interesting, class of VB-groupoids, those with trivial core. Trivial-core VB-groupoids $(E_G, E; G, M)$ come from representations E of plain Lie groupoids G and possess the nice property that their gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}E_G$ fits in a VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ itself. It turns out that multiplicative derivations of a trivial-core VB-groupoid $(E_G, E; G, M)$ are the same as multiplicative sections of $\mathsf{D}E_G \to G$ (see Theorem 4.15).

We now pass to VB-algebroids. First recall that a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ on a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ is *infinitesimally multiplicative* (IM) if it generates a flow of Lie algebroid automorphisms. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} & E \\ {\scriptstyle q_W}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle q} \\ A & \xrightarrow{p} & M \end{array}$$

be a VB-algebroid.

Definition 3.10 (Infinitesimal automorphisms of VB-algebroids) A vector field X_W on W is an *infinitesimal automorphism* of $(W, E; A, M)$ if it generates a flow of VB-algebroid automorphisms.

Remark 3.11 Let $X_W \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$ be an infinitesimal automorphism of $(W, E; A, M)$. It immediately follows from the definition that X_W projects onto vector fields

- $X_A = (q_W)_* X_W$ on A,
- $\tilde{X} = \tilde{p}_* X_W$ on E,
- $X = p_* X_A = q_* \tilde{X}$ on M.

Additionally, X_A is an IM vector field on A, and $\tilde{X}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of vector bundle $E \to M$. From another point of view, X_W corresponds both to a derivation $\Delta_{W,A}$ of vector bundle $W \to A$, and a derivation $\Delta_{W,E}$ of $W \to E$. In the same way, $\tilde{X}$ corresponds to a derivation δ_E of vector bundle $E \to M$, and X_A corresponds to a derivation δ_A of A (sharing the same symbol as δ_E). Finally $\Delta_{W,A}$ and δ_E are $\tilde{p}$-related, and $\Delta_{W,E}$ and δ_A are q_W-related: $\delta_E = \tilde{p}_* \Delta_{W,A}$ and $\delta_A = (q_W)_* \Delta_{W,E}$. We call $\Delta_{W,A}$ the *vertical derivation* and $\Delta_{W,E}$ the *horizontal derivation* corresponding to X_W.

Definition 3.12 (IM derivations) A derivation $\Delta_{W,A}$ of $W \to A$ is an *IM derivation* if the corresponding linear vector field $X_W \in \mathfrak{X}(W)$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(W, E; A, M)$.

It is important to notice that an IM derivation of $W \to A$ does also determine a horizontal derivation.

Let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid. Take a linear section $\tilde{a}$ of $W \to E$ and consider the adjoint operator $[\tilde{a}, -]$. It is a derivation of $W \to E$. Additionally, it is a *Lie algebroid differential*.

Recall that, if $A \to M$ is a Lie algebroid, a *differential* of A [20, 36] is a derivation δ of $A \to M$ such that δ is a derivation of the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$, i.e.

$$\delta[a, b] = [\delta a, b] + [a, \delta b], \tag{3.12}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. It easily follows from (3.12) that δ is also compatible with the anchor, i.e.

$$\rho(\delta a) = [\sigma(\delta), \rho(a)], \tag{3.13}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. The commutator of two Lie algebroid differentials is clearly a Lie algebroid differential as well. Now, the usual map $X \mapsto \Delta_X$ establishes a one-to-one, commutator-preserving, correspondence between IM vector fields on A and Lie algebroid differentials of A. So, Lie algebroid differentials provide an algebraic description of IM vector fields.

Coming back to the Lie algebroid differential $[\tilde{a}, -]$, it follows from the above general discussion that $X_{[\tilde{a},-]}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of the Lie algebroid $W \to E$.

Proposition 3.13 *A vector field $X_{[\tilde{a},-]}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of the VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$.*

Before proving Proposition 3.13 we need some general remarks which will be also useful in Section 3.3. Let $\mathcal{E}_{W,A}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{W,E}$ be the Euler vector fields of vector bundles $W \to A$ and $W \to E$, respectively. As W is a double vector bundle, $\mathcal{E}_{W,A}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{W,E}$ commute. In particular, it follows from Remark 3.3, that $\mathcal{E}_{W,A}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of the vector bundle $W \to E$. Hence, it corresponds to a derivation $\Delta_\mathcal{E}$ of $W \to E$. The symbol of $\Delta_\mathcal{E}$ is $\tilde{p}_* \mathcal{E}_{W,A}$ and coincides with the Euler vector field of E. The following lemma can be easily proved in local coordinates adapted to the double vector bundle structure of W.

Lemma 3.14 *A section $w \in \Gamma(W, E)$ is linear iff $\Delta_\mathcal{E} w = 0$, and it is a core section iff $\Delta_\mathcal{E} w = -w$.*

We are now ready to prove Proposition 3.13.

Proof (of Proposition 3.13): As vector field $X_{[\tilde{a},-]}$ is already an infinitesimal automorphism of the Lie algebroid $W \to E$, it remains to check that it is linear wrt vector bundle structure $W \to A$. Now a vector field on W is linear wrt $W \to A$ iff it commutes with $\mathcal{E}_{W,A}$. In order to see that $X_{[\tilde{a},-]}$ commutes with $\mathcal{E}_{W,A}$ it is enough to check that the operator $[\tilde{a}, -]$ commutes with $\Delta_\mathcal{E}$ and this follows from Proposition 3.25 below and the fact that the Lie bracket in $\Gamma(W, E)$ preserves linear sections, so that $[\tilde{a}, -]$ is a *linear* cochain in the deformation complex of the Lie algebroid $W \to E$ (see Section 3.3). □

Example 3.15 It follows from Proposition 3.13 that vector field $X_{[\tilde{a},-]}$ corresponds to an IM derivation of $W \to A$, denoted $\partial\tilde{a}$ and called an *internal derivation*, and, by duality, to an IM derivation of $W^* \to A$. Similarly, let C be the core of W. A linear section of $W^* \to C^*$ determines an IM derivation of both W and W^*.

Remark 3.16 Let $A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid. A vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(A)$ is IM iff, when regarded as a section $X : A \to TA$ of the tangent VB-algebroid, it is a morphism of Lie algebroids [36]. This suggests the following definition. Given a VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$ a section $s : A \to W$ of $W \to A$ is an *IM section* if it is a Lie algebroid morphism. Now, a similar characterization as for IM vector fields cannot take place, in general, for IM derivations because the gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}W$ of $W \to A$ is *not* a VB-algebroid itself, when $(W, E; A, M)$ is *generic* VB-algebroid. However, it turns out that the gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}E_A$ of a *trivial core* VB-algebroid $(E_A, E; A, M)$ (see Section 4) fits in a VB-algebroid $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ itself, and IM derivations of $(E_A, E; A, M)$ are the same as IM sections of $\mathsf{D}E_A \to A$ (Theorem 4.16).

The following proposition proves that IM derivations on VB-algebroids are the infinitesimal counterpart of multiplicative derivations on VB-groupoids. It follows immediately from Definitions 3.4, 3.6, 3.10, 3.12 and the general discussion in Subsection 2.3 (see [5] for more details).

Proposition 3.17 *Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid and let $(W, E; A, M)$ be its VB-algebroid. The Lie functor maps infinitesimal automorphisms of Ω to infinitesimal automorphisms of W. If, additionally, Ω is source simply connected then any infinitesimal automorphism of W integrates to a necessarily unique infinitesimal automorphism of Ω. In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between multiplicative derivations of Ω and IM derivations of W.*

We conclude this subsection presenting an alternative description of IM derivations of a VB-algebroid in the same spirit as the algebraic description of IM vector fields on a Lie algebroid provided by Lie algebroid differentials. We begin with the following

Remark 3.18 Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid $A \to M$. The Lie functor maps a multiplicative vector field X on G to an IM multiplicative vector field X_A on A. In its turn X_A corresponds to a differential δ of A. It has been shown in [20,36] that for every right invariant vector field Y on G, $[X, Y]$ is a right invariant vector field and, more important, X and δ are related by the following formula:

$$\overrightarrow{\delta a} = [X, \overrightarrow{a}]. \tag{3.14}$$

Now, let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid, and let X_W be an infinitesimal automorphism of it. The horizontal derivation $\Delta_{W,E}$ corresponding to X_W is a differential of the Lie algebroid $W \to E$. We want to provide an alternative description of those differentials of $W \to E$ that arise in this way. In order to do this, we need to recall some extra features of VB-algebroids.

The space $\Gamma_\ell(W, E)$ of linear sections of $W \to E$ (see Remark 2.5) is a $C^\infty(M)$-module in an obvious way. Actually, it is the module of sections of a vector bundle $\widehat{A} \to M$. Specifically, a point of $\widehat{A}$ over a point $x \in M$ is a pair (a, h) consisting of a point a of A_x and a linear map $h : E_x \to W_a$. As linear sections project onto sections of $A \to M$, there is a vector bundle epimorphism $\pi : \widehat{A} \to A$ whose kernel is $\mathsf{Hom}(E, C)$. Additionally, the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(W, E)$ restricts to linear sections. As a consequence $\widehat{A} \to M$ is a Lie algebroid with anchor given by $\rho \circ \pi$. This Lie algebroid is the so-called *fat algebroid* (see [18]). Vector bundle $E \to M$ carries a canonical representation of the fat algebroid, called the *side representation* and denoted by ψ^s. Let $\tilde{a}$ be a section of $\widehat{A}$, or, equivalently, a linear section of $W \to E$, projecting onto a section a of $A \to M$. The side representation is implicitly given by

$$\langle \phi, \psi^s_{\tilde{a}} e \rangle = \rho(a)\langle \phi, e \rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{a})(\phi), e \rangle, \tag{3.15}$$

for all $\phi \in \Gamma(E^*)$, and $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Here, ϕ is also regarded as a fiber-wise linear function on E.

The $C^\infty(E)$-module of sections of $W \to E$ is generated by linear sections and a vector field on E is completely determined by its action on fiber-wise linear function. Hence the fat algebroid, together with its side representation, contains a full information on the Lie algebroid $W \to E$.

Theorem 3.19 *Let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid. There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- *infinitesimal automorphisms of $(W, E; A, M)$,*
- *IM derivations of $W \to A$,*
- *triples $(\delta_A, \delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ consisting of*
  - *i.) a differential δ_A of the Lie algebroid A,*
  - *ii.) a differential $\delta_{\widehat{A}}$ of the fat algebroid $\widehat{A}$, and*
  - *iii.) a derivation δ_E of E, such that*

$$\pi \circ \delta_{\widehat{A}} = \delta_A \circ \pi, \tag{3.16}$$

$$\sigma(\delta_{\widehat{A}}) = \sigma(\delta_E), \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$[\delta_E, \psi^s_{\tilde{a}}] = \psi^s_{\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}}, \tag{3.18}$$

for all $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$.

PROOF: IM derivations of $W \to A$ are in one-to-one correspondence with infinitesimal automorphisms of $(W, E; A, M)$ by definition. Now, let X_W be an infinitesimal automorphism of $(W, E; A, M)$ and let $\Delta_{W,E}$ be its horizontal derivation. Since X_W is, in particular, an IM vector field, then $\Delta_{W,E}$ is a differential of the Lie algebroid $W \to E$. Additionally, X_W is an infinitesimal automorphism of vector bundle $W \to A$, i.e. it commutes with $X_{W,A}$. It follows that $\Delta_{W,E}$ commutes with $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}$. Hence, from Lemma 3.14, $\Delta_{W,E}$ preserves linear sections of $W \to E$ and it restricts to a differential $\delta_{\widehat{A}} : \Gamma(\widehat{A}) \to \Gamma(\widehat{A})$ of the fat algebroid. Consider also derivations δ_A, δ_E of A, E, respectively, as in Remark 3.11. Property (3.16) now follows from the fact that $\Delta_{W,E}$ and δ_A are q_W-related. Property (3.17) follows from the fact that both sides agree with the projection X of X_W on M. For property (3.18), consider derivation δ_A again. It is a differential of the Lie algebroid A, and it follows from $(q_W)_*\Delta_{W,E} = \delta_A$ that, if a linear section $\tilde{a}$ of $W \to E$ projects onto section a of A, then section $\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}$ projects onto $\delta_A a$. Now compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi, \psi^s_{\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}} e\rangle &= \rho(\delta_A a)\langle \phi, e\rangle - \langle \tilde{\rho}(\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a})(\phi), e\rangle \\
&= [\sigma(\delta_A), \rho(a)]\langle \phi, e\rangle - \langle [\sigma(\Delta_{W,E}), \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{a})](\phi), e\rangle \\
&= [X, \rho(a)]\langle \phi, e\rangle - \langle [\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{a})](\phi), e\rangle \\
&= \langle \phi, [\delta_E, \psi^s_{\tilde{a}}] e\rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

for all $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and $\phi \in \Gamma(E^*)$, also regarded as a fiber-wise linear function on E.

Conversely, let $(\delta_A, \delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ be as in the statement. From (3.16) and (3.17), $(\delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ extend uniquely to a derivation $\Delta_{W,E}$ of $W \to E$, and from (3.18) and the fact that $\delta_{\widehat{A}}$ is a Lie algebroid differential, $\Delta_{W,E}$ is a Lie algebroid differential as well. As it preserves linear sections, then it corresponds to a unique infinitesimal automorphism of $(W, E; A, M)$. □

Theorem 3.20 *Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid and let $(W, E; A, M)$ be the corresponding VB-algebroid. Every infinitesimal automorphism X_Ω of Ω determines a triple $(\delta_A, \delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ as in Theorem 3.19, via the following formulas*

$$\overrightarrow{\delta_A a} = [X_G, \overrightarrow{a}] \tag{3.20}$$

and

$$\overrightarrow{\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}} = [X_\Omega, \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}}] \quad \textit{and} \quad X_{\delta_E} = \tilde{s}_* X_\Omega = \tilde{t}_* X_\Omega, \tag{3.21}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\delta_{\widehat{A}}\tilde{a}} = [\Delta_\Omega, \overrightarrow{\Delta}_{\tilde{a}}] \quad \textit{and} \quad \delta_E = \tilde{s}_* \Delta_\Omega = \tilde{t}_* \Delta_\Omega, \tag{3.22}$$

for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$ and $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$. If $\Omega \rightrightarrows E$ is source simply connected, this correspondence is one-to-one.

PROOF: It immediately follows from Theorem 3.19 and Remark 3.18. □

3.3 The linear deformation complex of a VB-groupoid/algebroid

Multiplicative vector fields on a Lie group G can be seen as 1-cocycles in the Lie group cohomology complex of G with coefficients in the adjoint representation. More generally, multiplicative vector fields on a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ are 1-cocycles in the *deformation complex* of G [8]. At the infinitesimal level, IM vector field on a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ are 1-cocycles in the *deformation complex* of

A [9]. Additionally, the deformation complex of a Lie groupoid and the deformation complex of the associated Lie algebroid are intertwined by a Van Est map [8], which is yet another manifestation of the Lie functor. In this section we show that there is a natural cochain complex $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ attached to every VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ in such a way that infinitesimal automorphisms (equivalently multiplicative derivations) are the same as 1-cocycles, while internal derivations (Example 3.8) are 1-coboundaries. Specifically, complex $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ is a subcomplex of the deformation complex of the Lie groupoid $\Omega \rightrightarrows E$ consisting of cochains that are *linear* in a suitable sense (see below). A similar result holds for VB-algebroids.

First of all, let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a plain Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A. Following [8], we denote by $\bar{m}$ the division map in G, i.e.

$$\bar{m}(g,h) = gh^{-1} \tag{3.23}$$

for all $g, h \in G$ such that $s(g) = s(h)$, and by $G^{(k)}$ the space of k-tuples of composable arrows. The *deformation complex* of G [8] is the pair $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G), \delta)$, where, for $k > 0$, $C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$ is the space of smooth maps

$$c : G^{(k)} \longrightarrow TG, \quad (g_1, \ldots, g_k) \longmapsto c(g_1, \ldots, g_k) \in T_{g_1}G, \tag{3.24}$$

which are *source-projectable*, i.e. there exists a, necessarily unique, smooth map $\sigma_c : G^{(k-1)} \longrightarrow TM, \quad (g_1, \ldots, g_{k-1}) \longmapsto \sigma_c(g_1, \ldots, g_{k-1}) \in T_{t(g_1)}M$ called the *source projection* of c such that $(ds \circ c)(g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k) = \sigma_c(g_2, \ldots, g_k)$, in particular it does not depend on g_1. The differential δc of $c \in C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta c)(g_1, \ldots, g_{k+1}) = &- d\bar{m}(c(g_1g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_{k+1}), c(g_2, g_3, \ldots, g_{k+1})) \\ &+ \sum_{i=2}^{k} (-)^i c(g_1, \ldots, g_ig_{i+1}, \ldots, g_{k+1}) + (-)^{k+1} c(g_1, \ldots, g_k).\end{aligned}$$

For $k = 0$, $C^0_{\mathrm{def}}(G) \coloneqq \Gamma(A)$ and for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $\delta a \coloneqq \overrightarrow{a} + \overleftarrow{a}$. The terminology is motivated by the fact that $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G), \delta)$ controls deformations of $G \rightrightarrows M$ [8]. It is easy to see that 1-cocycles in $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G), \delta)$ are multiplicative vector fields on G (see [8, Proposition 4.3]).

We will also consider the *normalized deformation complex* of G as the subcomplex $\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G) \subset C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$ consisting of *normalized cochains*. For $k \geq 2$, a normalized k-cochain is a k-cochain c such that

$$c(1_x, g_2, \ldots, g_k) = \sigma_c(g_2, \ldots, g_k) \quad \text{and} \quad c(g_1, \ldots, 1_x, \ldots, g_k) = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

In degree 1, the only condition is that $c(1_x) = (\sigma_c)_x$, and in degree 0 there are no conditions.

Now, let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid with VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$. Consider the subspace $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ of $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(\Omega)$ defined as follows. For $k > 0$, $C^k_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ consists of *linear* cochains, i.e. those cochains $\tilde{c} : \Omega^{(k)} \to T\Omega$ that are vector bundle morphisms covering some smooth map $c : G^{(k)} \to TG$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{c}} & T\Omega \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G^{(k)} & \xrightarrow{c} & TG \end{array}.$$

For $k = 0$, $C^0_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega) = \Gamma_\ell(W, E) = \Gamma(\widehat{A})$, where $\widehat{A} \to M$ is the fat algebroid of $(W, E; A, M)$.

Lemma 3.21

i.) $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ *is a subcomplex of* $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(\Omega), \delta)$.

ii.) If $\tilde{c} : \Omega^{(k)} \to T\Omega$ *belongs to* $C^k_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$, *then its* projection $c : G^{(k)} \to TG$ *belongs to* $C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$ *and* $\delta\tilde{c}$ *projects to* δc.

PROOF: First of all, let $\tilde{a} \in C^0_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ be a linear section of $W \to E$. Then $\delta\tilde{a} = \overrightarrow{\tilde{a}} + \overleftarrow{\tilde{a}}$ is an infinitesimal automorphism of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ (Example 3.8). In particular, it belongs to $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$. Now, let $\tilde{c} : \Omega^{(k)} \to T\Omega$ belong to $C^k_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$, with $k > 0$, and show that $\delta\tilde{c}$ belongs to $C^{k+1}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$. This follows, after a straightforward computation, from linearity of $\tilde{c}$ and the obvious fact that, like the (other) structure maps, the division $\tilde{\bar{m}} = \Omega\,{}_{\tilde{s}}\!\times_{\tilde{s}}\Omega \to \Omega$ in a VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is a vector bundle morphism (covering the division $\bar{m} : G\,{}_s\!\times_s G \to G$ in G). The second part of the statement immediately follows from the axioms of VB-groupoids and the, easy to check, commutativity of the following diagram:



Here the projection $\Omega^{(k)} \to \Omega^{(k-1)}$ consists in dropping the first entry (and similarly for projection $G^{(k)} \to G^{(k-1)}$) and $\sigma_c = dq \circ \sigma_{\tilde{c}} \circ 0_{\Omega^{(k-1)}}$, where $0_{\Omega^{(k-1)}} : G^{(k-1)} \to \Omega^{(k-1)}$ is the zero section. □

The above lemma allows us to state the following

Proposition 3.22

i.) Infinitesimal automorphisms of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ *are* 1*-cocycles in* $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega), \delta)$.

ii.) Internal derivations of $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ *are (equivalent to)* 1*-coboundaries in* $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega), \delta)$.

PROOF: It immediately follows from Remark 3.9 and [8, Proposition 4.3]. □

We now pass to the infinitesimal picture. Recall from [9] that the *deformation complex* of a Lie algebroid $A \to M$ is the pair $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A), \partial)$, where for $k > 0$, $C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(A)$ consists of (skew-symmetric) multiderivations

$$c : \Gamma(A) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(A) \longrightarrow \Gamma(A) \tag{3.26}$$

with k-entries, and multilinear symbol, i.e. c is a skew-symmetric $\mathbb{R}$-multilinear map, and there exists a, necessarily unique, vector bundle morphism $\sigma_c : \wedge^{k-1}A \to TM$, called the *symbol* of c, such that

$$c(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, fa_k) = fc(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}, a_k) + \sigma_c(a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1})(f)a_k \tag{3.27}$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in \Gamma(A)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The differential ∂c of $c \in C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(A)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial c)(a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1}) = &\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}(-)^{i+1}[a_i, c(a_1, \ldots, \widehat{a}_i, \ldots, a_{k+1})] \\ &+ \sum_{i<j}(-)^{i+j}c([a_i, a_j], a_1, \ldots, \widehat{a}_i, \ldots, \widehat{a}_j, \ldots, a_{k+1}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

for all $a_1, \ldots, a_{k+1} \in \Gamma(A)$. For $k = 0$, $C^0_{\mathrm{def}}(A) \coloneqq \Gamma(A)$, and, for $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $\partial a \coloneqq [a, -]$. The terminology is motivated by the fact that $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A), \partial)$ controls deformations of A [9]. We remark, however, that complex $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A), \partial)$ first appeared in [16] under a different name, and for different purposes (see Theorem 6 loc. cit.).

It is immediate that 1-cocycles in $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A), \partial)$ are differentials of the Lie algebroid A. Hence they are in one-to-one correspondence with IM vector fields on A.

Remark 3.23 The graded space $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A)$ is not just a cochain complex. It is actually a DG Lie algebra when additionally equipped with the *Schouten-Jacobi bracket* $[\![-,-]\!]$ (see [30,31]), originally named the *Gerstenhaber bracket* in [9]. Differential ∂ is then $[\![b,-]\!]$, where $b = [-,-]$ is the Lie bracket on $\Gamma(A)$.

When A is the Lie algebroid of a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, then the deformation complex of A and the normalized deformation complex of G are intertwined by a *Van Est map* [8], i.e. the cochain map

$$\mathcal{V} : (\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G), \delta) \longrightarrow (C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(A), \partial) \tag{3.29}$$

defined by

$$(\mathcal{V}c)(a_1, \dots, a_k) := \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} (-)^\sigma (R_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \circ \cdots \circ R_{a_{\sigma(k)}})(c), \tag{3.30}$$

for all $a_1, \dots, a_k$. Here, for any $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $R_a : \widehat{C}^{\bullet+1}_{\mathrm{def}}(G) \to \widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$ is the map defined as follows. For $c \in \widehat{C}^1_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$, $R_a c := [c, \overrightarrow{a}]|_M$, and, for $c \in \widehat{C}^{k+1}_{\mathrm{def}}(G)$, $k > 0$,

$$(R_a c)(g_1, \dots, g_k) := (-)^k \frac{d}{d\epsilon}\Big|_{\epsilon=0} c(g_1, \dots, g_k, \phi^a_\epsilon(s(g_k))^{-1}), \tag{3.31}$$

where $\{\phi^a_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ is the flow of $\overrightarrow{a}$.

Now, let $(W, E; A, M)$ be a VB-algebroid. Consider the subspace $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$ of $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(W)$ consisting of *linear cochains*, i.e. those multiderivations $c : \Gamma(W,E) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(W,E) \to \Gamma(W,E)$ which preserve linear sections, i.e. $c(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k) \in \Gamma_\ell(W,E)$ for all $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k \in \Gamma_\ell(W,E)$.

Lemma 3.24 *$C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$ is a subcomplex, and a Lie subalgebra, in $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(W)$.*

Proof: It immediately follows from the explicit formula for ∂, Formula (3.28), and the explicit formula for the Schouten-Jacobi bracket (see [9, Proposition 1]). □

Linear 0-cochains in $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(W)$ are characterized by Lemma 3.14. For higher degree cochains the situation is illustrated by the following

Proposition 3.25 *A cochain $\tilde{c} \in C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(W)$ is linear iff $[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!] = 0$, where $[\![-,-]\!]$ is the Schouten-Jacobi bracket (see Remark 3.23).*

Proof: First notice that a multiderivation is completely determined by its own action and its symbol action on generators. Now, recall that

$$[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!](w_1, \dots, w_k) = \Delta_\mathcal{E}(\tilde{c}(w_1, \dots, w_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{c}(w_1, \dots, \Delta_\mathcal{E} w_i, \dots, w_k), \tag{3.32}$$

for all $w_1, \dots, w_k \in \Gamma(W,E)$. Using (3.32) and Lemma (3.14) we immediately see that $\tilde{c}$ preserves linear sections iff $[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!]$ vanishes on linear sections. Now look at the symbol of $[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!]$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\sigma_{[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!]}(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}) = [\sigma(\Delta_\mathcal{E}), \sigma_{\tilde{c}}(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1})] - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sigma_{\tilde{c}}(w_1, \dots, \Delta_\mathcal{E} w_i, \dots, w_{k-1}), \tag{3.33}$$

for all $w_1, \dots, w_{k-1} \in \Gamma(W,E)$. In particular,

$$\sigma_{[\![\Delta_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{c}]\!]}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}) = [\sigma(\Delta_\mathcal{E}), \sigma_{\tilde{c}}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1})], \tag{3.34}$$

for all linear sections $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}$. To conclude it is enough to notice that linear sections generate $\Gamma(W, E)$ as a $C^\infty(E)$-module and that $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1})$ is a linear vector field on E for all linear sections $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}$, when $\tilde{c}$ is linear. Last claim deserves a little more explanations. Vector field $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1})$ is the symbol of the derivation $\tilde{c}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}, -)$. The latter preserves linear sections. Using local coordinates adapted to the double vector bundle structure of W, it is easy to see that, if a derivation of $W \to E$ preserves linear section, then its symbol preserves fiber-wise linear functions on E, i.e. it is a linear vector field. This concludes the proof. □

Corollary 3.26 *Let $\tilde{c} \in C^{k+1}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$, $k \geq 0$, then*

$$\tilde{c}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k, \widehat{\chi}) \text{ is a core section} \tag{3.35}$$

$$\tilde{c}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}, \widehat{\chi}_1, \widehat{\chi}_2) = 0 \tag{3.36}$$

for all linear sections $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k$, and all core sections $\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}_1, \widehat{\chi}_2$ of $W \to E$.

Proof: Claim (3.35) immediately follows from Lemma 3.14 and Proposition 3.25 after computing $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(\tilde{c}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k, \widehat{\chi}))$ via (3.32). Now put

$$s = \tilde{c}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k-1}, \widehat{\chi}_1, \widehat{\chi}_2). \tag{3.37}$$

From Lemma 3.14, Proposition 3.25, and (3.32) it immediately follows that

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{E}} s = -2s. \tag{3.38}$$

It is easy to see, e.g. in local coordinates, that there are non non-trivial such s. □

Remark 3.27 The above corollary shows that the *linearity conditions ii.)* and *iii.)* in Definition 2.6 (VB-algebroid) are actually a consequence of condition *i.)*. Hence they are redundant and could be omitted.

Theorem 3.28

i.) For $k > 0$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between cochains $\tilde{c}$ in $C^k_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$ and triples $(c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$ consisting of

- *a k-cochain c_A in the deformation complex of A,*
- *a k-cochain $c_{\widehat{A}}$ in the deformation complex of the fat algebroid $\widehat{A}$,*
- *a vector bundle morphism $c_E : \wedge^{k-1}\widehat{A} \to \mathsf{D}E$, such that*

$$\pi \circ c_{\widehat{A}}(-, \dots, -) = c_A(\pi(-), \dots, \pi(-)), \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\sigma \circ c_E = \sigma_{c_{\widehat{A}}} \tag{3.40}$$

where $\sigma : \mathsf{D}E \to TM$ is the symbol map. If $\tilde{c}$ corresponds to $(c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$, then $\partial\tilde{c}$ corresponds to $(\partial c_A, \partial c_{\widehat{A}}, c'_E)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} c'_E(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k) = &\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-)^{i+1} [\psi^s_{\tilde{a}_i}, c_E(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\tilde{a}_i}, \dots, \tilde{a}_k)] \\ &+ \sum_{i<j} (-)^{i+j} c_E([\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_j], \tilde{a}_1, \dots, \widehat{\tilde{a}_i}, \dots, \widehat{\tilde{a}_j}, \dots, \tilde{a}_k) - (-)^k \psi^s_{c_{\widehat{A}}(\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

for all $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_k \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$.

ii.) For $k = 0$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between $C^0_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$ and sections of the fat algebroid $\widehat{A} \to M$. If $c \in C^0_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$ correspond to $\tilde{a} \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$, then ∂c corresponds to the triple $(\partial(\pi \circ \tilde{a}), \partial\tilde{a}, \psi^s_{\tilde{a}})$.

PROOF: *i.)* First of all, let X be a vector field on W which is linear wrt to the vector bundle structure $W \to E$. Hence it corresponds to a derivation $\Delta_{W,E}$ of $W \to E$. From Lemma 3.14 it easily follows that X is an infinitesimal automorphism of the double vector bundle W iff $\Delta_{W,E}$ maps linear sections to linear sections, i.e. it is a 1-cochain in $C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$, and every 1-cochain arises in this way. Now, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.19 (but ignoring the Lie algebroid structures) one can prove that infinitesimal automorphisms of the double vector bundle W are in one-to-one correspondence with triples $(\delta_A, \delta_{\widehat{A}}, \delta_E)$ consisting of

- a derivation δ_A of A,
- a derivation $\delta_{\widehat{A}}$ of $\widehat{A}$, and
- a derivation δ_E of E,

satisfying (3.16) and (3.17). This proves the first part of the statement for $k = 1$.

Now let $k > 1$ and $\tilde{c} \in C^k_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W)$. Let $c_{\widehat{A}}$ be the restriction of $\tilde{c}$ to linear sections, i.e. $\Gamma_\ell(W, E) = \Gamma(\widehat{A})$, and let c_A be the projection of $\tilde{c}$ to $C^k_{\mathrm{def}}(A)$ (see Lemma 3.21.*ii.)*). Condition (3.39) then follows from the definition of c_A. Finally, define c_E as follows. First of all, for all linear sections $\tilde{a}_1, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{k-1} \in \Gamma(\widehat{A})$, let $c^*_E(\tilde{a}_1, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{k-1})$ be the restriction of $\sigma_{\tilde{c}}(\tilde{a}_1, \ldots, \tilde{a}_{k-1})$ to fiber-wise linear functions on E, i.e. sections of E^*. So c^*_E is a vector bundle morphism $\wedge^{k-1}\widehat{A} \to \mathsf{D}E^*$. Composing with the isomorphism $\mathsf{D}E^* \simeq \mathsf{D}E$, we get a vector bundle morphism $c_E : \wedge^{k-1}\widehat{A} \to \mathsf{D}E$. Condition (3.40) is obvious. As linear sections generate $\Gamma(W, E)$ as a $C^\infty(E)$-module and a vector field on E is completely determined by its action on fiber-wise linear functions, then $\tilde{c}$ is completely determined by $(c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$. Finally, to see that every triple $(c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$ as in the statement arises in this way, use local coordinates to show that correspondence $\tilde{c} \mapsto (c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$ is a(n injective) $C^\infty(M)$-linear map between modules of sections of two vector bundles over M of the same rank.

The second part of the statement follows from (3.28) and (3.8), after a straightforward computation.

ii.) Obvious. □

Let $(c_A, c_{\widehat{A}}, c_E)$ be a triple as in the statement of Theorem 3.28. Then condition (3.40) says that c_E is a *derivation valued skew-symmetric k-form on* $\widehat{A}$ in the sense of [46, Section 2.4].

Corollary 3.29

i.) Infinitesimal automorphisms of $(W, E; A, M)$ are (equivalent to) 1-cocycles in $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W), \partial)$.

ii.) Internal derivations of $(W, E; A, M)$ are (equivalent to) 1-coboundaries in $(C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W), \partial)$.

Theorem 3.30 (Linear Van Est map) *Let $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ be a VB-groupoid and let $(W, E; A, M)$ be its VB-algebroid. The Van Est map (3.29) restricts to a cochain map*

$$\mathcal{V} : (\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega), \delta) \to (C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(W), \partial), \tag{3.42}$$

where $\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ denotes linear, normalized cochains*, i.e. $\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega) = \widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def}}(\Omega) \cap C^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$.*

PROOF: It is enough to show that, if $\tilde{a}$ is a linear section of $W \to E$, then $R_{\tilde{a}}$ maps $\widehat{C}^{\bullet+1}_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$ to $\widehat{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{def,lin}}(\Omega)$. But this immediately follows from (3.31) and the fact that, from linearity, the right invariant vector field $\overrightarrow{\tilde{a}} \in \mathfrak{X}(\Omega)$ is a linear vector field on Ω (Proposition 3.7), hence it generates a flow $\{\phi^{\tilde{a}}_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ of vector bundle automorphisms. □

4 Multiplicative derivations of trivial-core VB-groupoids and algebroids

4.1 VB-groupoids/algebroids and representations

Gracia-Saz and Mehta showed that VB-groupoids/algebroids are intrinsic models of 2-term representations up to homotopy [18, 19], i.e. representations up to homotopy concentrated in degrees 0 and 1 (see [1, 2] for more details about representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids and groupoids). Given a VB-groupoid/algebroid one can construct, non-canonically, a 2-term representation up to homotopy in such a way that isomorphism classes of VB-groupoids/algebroids correspond bijectively to isomorphism classes of 2-term representations up to homotopy. For instance, the isomorphism class of the tangent VB-groupoid/algebroid corresponds to the isomorphism class of the adjoint representation (up to homotopy). In this picture, 2-term representations up to homotopy concentrated in one single degree (0 or 1) are genuine representations and correspond to particularly simple VB-groupoids/algebroids that we describe in this section for future use.

Definition 4.1 (Trivial-core VB-groupoid) A VB-groupoid is *trivial-core* is its core is trivial.

Let $(E_G, E; G, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid. In this case, the source $\tilde{s} : E_G \to E$ is a regular vector bundle morphism (covering $s : G \to M$). Hence E_G is canonically isomorphic to s^*E as a vector bundle over G. In the following we will understand the latter isomorphism and denote $E_G = s^*E$.

Example 4.2 Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle equipped with a left representation of G. Then $(E_G = s^*E, E; G, M)$ is a trivial-core VB-groupoid. The structure maps of the top groupoid $E_G \rightrightarrows E$ are the following:

i.) the source and target maps are given by $\tilde{s}(g, e) = e$ and $\tilde{t}(g, e) = g.e$, respectively,

ii.) the multiplication $\tilde{m}$ is given by $\tilde{m}((g, e), (g', e')) = (gg', e')$,

iii.) the unit and the inversion are given by $\tilde{u}(e) = (1_{q(e)}, e)$ and $\tilde{i}(g, e) = (g^{-1}, g.e)$.

In other words, $E_G \rightrightarrows E$ is the *action groupoid* corresponding to the action of G on the fibered manifold $E \to M$. It is easy to see that every trivial-core VB-groupoid arises in this way. In [19] trivial-core VB-groupoids $(E_G, E; G, M)$ correspond to representations of G on E, seen as representations up to homotopy concentrated in degree 0.

Definition 4.3 (Full-core VB-groupoid) A VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ is *full-core* if its core is $C = \Omega|_M$.

Let $(E_G, E; E, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid. In this case, the source $\tilde{s} : E_G \to E$ is a regular vector bundle morphism (covering $s : G \to M$). Hence E_G is canonically isomorphic to s^*E as a vector bundle over G. In the following we will understand the latter isomorphism and denote $E_G = s^*E$.

Example 4.4 Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid and let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle carrying a left representation of G. Denote by $0_M \to M$ the rank zero vector bundle. Then $(t^*E, 0_M; G, M)$ is A full-core VB-groupoid. The structure maps of the top groupoid $t^*E \rightrightarrows 0_M$ are the following:

i.) the source and the target are given by $\tilde{s}(g, e) = 0_{s(g)}$ and $\tilde{t}(g, e) = 0_{t(g)} = 0_{p(e)}$,

ii.) the multiplication is given by $\tilde{m}((g, e), (g', e')) = (gg', e + g.e')$,

iii.) the unit and the inversion are given by $\tilde{u}(0_x) = (1_x, 0_x)$, for all $x \in M$, and $\tilde{i}(g, e) = (g^{-1}, -g^{-1}.e)$.

In other words, $t^*E \rightrightarrows 0_M = M$ is the *representation groupoid* corresponding to the representation E of G. Actually, every full-core VB-groupoid arises in this way. In [19] full-core VB-groupoids correspond to representations of G seen as representations up to homotopy concentrated in degree 1.

Clearly, full-core VB-groupoids are dual to trivial-core VB-groupoids and vice-versa. If $(E_G, E; G, M)$ is the trivial-core VB-groupoid corresponding to a left representation of G on E, then the dual full-core VB-groupoid corresponds to the transpose representation of G on E^*.

Similar definitions exist in the realm of Lie algebroids. Specifically, we give the following

Definition 4.5 (Trivial-core and full-core VB-algebroids) A VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$ is *trivial-core* if its core is trivial, and it is *full-core* if its core is $C = W|_M$.

Example 4.6 Let $p : A \to M$ be a Lie algebroid, and let $q : E \to M$ be a vector bundle carrying a representation of A. Denote by ∇ the flat A-connection in E defining the representation. For every section $a \in \Gamma(A)$ the operator ∇_a is a derivation of E. Hence, it corresponds to a linear vector field on E, that we denote by X_a. Vector field X_a projects onto $\rho(a)$. It is easy to see that $(E_A := p^*E, E; A, M)$ is a trivial-core VB-algebroid. The structure maps of the top Lie algebroid $E_A \to E$ are the following:

i.) the projection $\tilde{p} = p_A : E_A \to E$ is the one induced by p,

ii.) the anchor $\tilde{\rho} : E_A \to TE$ is given by $\tilde{\rho}(a_x, e) = X_a|_e$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$, $x \in M$, and $e \in E_x$,

iii.) the Lie bracket $[-,-] : \Gamma(E_A, E) \times \Gamma(E_A, E) \to \Gamma(E_A, E)$ is uniquely defined by the anchor and

$$[q^*a, q^*b] = q^*[a, b], \tag{4.1}$$

for all pull-back sections $q^*a, q^*b \in \Gamma(E_A, E)$, with $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$.

In other words, $E_A \to E$ is the *action algebroid* corresponding to the action of A on the fibered manifold $E \to M$. Every trivial-core VB-algebroid arises in this way. In [18] trivial-core VB-algebroids $(E_A, E; A, M)$ correspond to representations of A on E seen as representations up to homotopy concentrated in degree 0.

Example 4.7 Let A and E be as in Example 4.6. Then $(A \oplus_M E, 0_M; A, M)$ is a full-core VB-algebroid. The structure maps of the top Lie algebroid $A \oplus_M E \to 0_M = M$ are the following:

i.) the projection $\tilde{p} : A \oplus_M E \to 0_M$ is the obvious one,

ii.) the anchor $\tilde{\rho} : A \oplus_M E \to TM$ is given by $\tilde{\rho}(a, e) = \rho(a)$, and

iii.) the Lie bracket $[-,-] : \Gamma(A \oplus_M E, M) \times \Gamma(A \oplus_M E, M) \to \Gamma(A \oplus_M E, M)$ is given by

$$[(a, e), (a', e')] = ([a, a'], \nabla_a e' - \nabla_{a'} e) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $a, a' \in \Gamma(A)$, and $e, e' \in \Gamma(E)$.

In other words, $A \oplus_M E \to M$ is the *representation algebroid* corresponding to the representation E of A, i.e. the *semidirect product of A and E*. All full-core VB-algebroids arise in this way. In [18] they correspond to representations of A seen as representations up to homotopy concentrated in degree 1.

Full-core VB-algebroids are dual to trivial-core VB-algebroids and vice-versa. If $(E_A, E; A, M)$ is the trivial-core VB-algebroid corresponding to a representation of A on E, the dual full-core VB-algebroid corresponds to the transpose representation of A on E^*.

The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 4.8

i.) Let G be a Lie groupoid with Lie algebroid A, and let E be a representation of G (hence of A). The VB-algebroid of the trivial-core (resp. full-core) VB-groupoid determined by G and E is the trivial-core (resp. full-core) VB-algebroid corresponding to A and E.

ii.) Let A be an integrable Lie algebroid with source simply connected integration G, and let E be a representation of A, hence of G. The trivial-core (resp. full-core) VB-algebroid determined by A and E is integrable and its source simply connected integration is the trivial-core (resp. full-core) VB-groupoid determined by G and E.

Example 4.9 A VB-groupoid $(\Omega, E; G, M)$ (resp. a VB-algebroid $(W, E; A, M)$) such that Ω (resp. W) is a line bundle over G (resp. A) is necessarily either trivial-core or full-core.

4.2 The gauge algebroid of a trivial-core VB-groupoid/algebroid

In this section, we show that the gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}E_G$ of a trivial-core VB-groupoid $(E_G, E; G, M)$ fits itself in a VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ (Proposition 4.10). Similarly, the gauge algebroid $\mathsf{D}E_A$ of a trivial-core VB-algebroid $(E_A, E; A, M)$ fits in a VB-algebroid $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ (Proposition 4.12). Finally, if $(E_A, E; A, M)$ is the VB-algebroid of the VB-groupoid $(E_G, E; G, M)$, then $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is the VB-algebroid of $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ (Proposition 4.13).

Let us start with a plain groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$, a representation $E \to M$ of it and the associated trivial-core VB-groupoid $(E_G, E; G, M)$.

Proposition 4.10 $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ *is a VB-groupoid in a natural way and there is a short exact sequence of VB-groupoids:*



(4.3)

Here $(\mathsf{End}E_G, \mathsf{End}E; G, M)$ *is the trivial-core VB-groupoid corresponding to the action of G on* $\mathsf{End}E$.

Proof: First of all recall that the induced vector bundles $E_G = s^*E \to G$ and $t^*E \to G$ are canonically isomorphic (see, e.g., [47]). The isomorphism $\tau : E_G \to t^*E$ is given by

$$\tau(g, e) = (g, g.e), \tag{4.4}$$

for all $(g, e) \in G \times E$ with $s(g) = q(e)$. So, define the source $s_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E_G \to \mathsf{D}E$ as $s_{\mathsf{D}} = \mathsf{D}s$, and the target $t_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E_G \to \mathsf{D}E$ as $t_{\mathsf{D}} = \mathsf{D}(t_E \circ \tau) = \mathsf{D}t \circ \mathsf{D}\tau$, where, as in Section 3.1, we denoted by $t_E : t^*E \to E$ the regular vector bundle morphism induced by $t : G \to M$. Clearly $s_{\mathsf{D}}, t_{\mathsf{D}}$ are vector bundle epimorphisms covering s, t respectively. Put

$$(\mathsf{D}E_G)^{(2)} := \{(\Delta_1, \Delta_2) \in \mathsf{D}E_G \times \mathsf{D}E_G : s_{\mathsf{D}}(\Delta_1) = t_{\mathsf{D}}(\Delta_2)\}, \tag{4.5}$$

which is a vector bundle over $G^{(2)}$ in an obvious way. Actually, it is canonically isomorphic to $\mathsf{D}(m^*E_G)$. The isomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathsf{D}(m^*E_G) \to (\mathsf{D}E_G)^{(2)} \tag{4.6}$$

is defined as follows. For $\square \in \mathsf{D}(m^*E_G)$, put

$$\varphi(\square) := (\square_1, \square_2), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\square_2 := \mathsf{D}\mathrm{pr}_2(\square)$. Here we identify m^*E_G and $(\mathrm{pr}_2^*E_G)|_{G^{(2)}}$, using that $s \circ m = s \circ \mathrm{pr}_2|_{G^{(2)}}$. Similarly $\square_1 := \mathsf{D}\mathrm{pr}_1(\square_\tau)$, where $\square_\tau \in \mathsf{D}(m^*t^*E)$ is obtained from $\square$ identifying E_G with t^*E via τ and, moreover, we identify m^*t^*E and $(\mathrm{pr}_1^*t^*E)|_{G^{(2)}}$, using that $t \circ m = t \circ \mathrm{pr}_1|_{G^{(2)}}$. Now, the multiplication $m_{\mathsf{D}} : (\mathsf{D}E_G)^{(2)} \to \mathsf{D}E_G$ is naturally defined as the composition

$$(\mathsf{D}E_G)^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{-1}} \mathsf{D}(m^*E_G) \xrightarrow{\mathsf{D}m} \mathsf{D}E_G. \tag{4.8}$$

Next, the unit $u_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E \to \mathsf{D}E_G$ is just $u_{\mathsf{D}} = \mathsf{D}u$ (where we identify E with u^*E_G), and the inversion is $i_{\mathsf{D}} = \mathsf{D}(i_E \circ \tau) = \mathsf{D}i \circ \mathsf{D}\tau$, where we denoted by $i_E : E_G \to E_G$ the regular vector bundle morphism induced by $i : G \to G$. It is now easy to check that, with all its structure maps $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ is indeed a VB-groupoid fitting in the exact sequence (4.3). We leave details to the reader. □

Remark 4.11 The VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ is actually an *LA-groupoid*, i.e. a Lie algebroid object in the category of groupoids [33]. As we will not need this additional structure, we omit the details.

Now let $p : A \to M$ be a plain Lie algebroid, let $E \to M$ be a representation of it, and let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be the associated trivial-core VB-algebroid. We will denote by ∇ the flat A-connection in E. Moreover, beware that, from now on, $\mathsf{D}E_A$ will always indicate the gauge algebroid of the vector bundle $E_A \to A$ (*not* the vector bundle $E_A \to E$).

Proposition 4.12 $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ *is a VB-algebroid in a natural way and there is a short exact sequence of VB-algebroids:*



(4.9)

Here $(\mathsf{End}E_A, \mathsf{End}E; A, M)$ *is the trivial-core VB-algebroid corresponding to the action of* A *on* $\mathsf{End}E$.

Proof: This proof parallels the analogous proof for the tangent VB-algebroid (see, e.g., [35]).

Step 1: $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ **is a double vector bundle**. Define projection $p_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$ as $p_{\mathsf{D}} = \mathsf{D}p$. It is a vector bundle. The easiest way to see this is noticing that the regular homogeneity structure $h : \mathbb{R} \times A \to A$ corresponding to vector bundle $A \to M$ lifts to a homogeneity structure $h_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E_A$. It is easy to see, e.g. using local coordinates, that h_{D} is a regular homogeneity structure with $h_{\mathsf{D}}(0, -) = \mathsf{D}0_A \circ p_{\mathsf{D}}$, where $0_A : M \to A$ is the zero section of $A \to M$. Hence p_{D} is a vector bundle projection and $\mathsf{D}0_A$ is its zero section. The scalar multiplication in the fibers of $\mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$ is given by h_{D}. To describe the fiber addition explicitly notice that there is a canonical diffeomorphism

$$\mathsf{D}(E_{A\oplus A}) \simeq \mathsf{D}E_A \times_{\mathsf{D}E} \mathsf{D}E_A \tag{4.10}$$

identifying $\square \in \mathsf{D}(E_{A\oplus A})$ with the pair $(\square_1, \square_2)$ defined by

$$\square_i(e) := \square(\mathrm{pr}_i^* e) \tag{4.11}$$

for all $e \in \Gamma(E_A, A)$, where $\mathrm{pr}_i : A \oplus A \to A$ is the projection onto the i-th summand, $i = 1, 2$, and we are identifying $\mathrm{pr}_i^* E_A$ with $E_{A\oplus A}$ in the obvious way. Then, the sum in the fibers of p_{D} is given by

$$\square_1 +_{\mathsf{D}} \square_2 := \mathsf{D}(+_A)(\square_1, \square_2), \tag{4.12}$$

for all $(\square_1, \square_2) \in \mathsf{D}E_A \times_{\mathsf{D}E} \mathsf{D}E_A = \mathsf{D}(E_{A\oplus A})$, where $+_A : A \oplus A \to A$ is the fiber-wise sum in A. Finally, use local coordinates to check that, with all its structure maps, $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is a double vector bundle.

Step 2: the core of $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is A. The core C of $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is the intersection of the kernels of the projections $\mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$ and $\mathsf{D}E_A \to A$. Hence it consists of those derivations $\Delta : \Gamma(E_A) \to (E_A)_{0_A(x)} = E_x$, with $x \in M$, such that $\Delta(p^*e) = 0$ for all $e \in \Gamma(E)$. Every such derivation is of the form $\mathbb{D}_{a^V}$, where $a \in A_x$, $a^V \in T_{0_A(x)}A$ is its vertical lift, and $\mathbb{D}$ is the obvious flat $\ker dp$-connection in E_A. It is clear that correspondence $a \mapsto \mathbb{D}_{a^v}$ establishes an isomorphism $A \simeq C$ of vector bundles over M.

Step 3: Lie algebroid structure. In what follows we understand isomorphism $C \simeq A$ just described. Sections of $\mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$ are generated by sections of two forms: *total lifts* $\mathsf{D}a$ of sections a of A (we identify a^*E_A with E), and *core sections* $\widehat{b}$, $b \in \Gamma(C) = \Gamma(A)$, where

$$\widehat{b}_{\Delta_x} := \mathsf{D}0_A(\Delta_x) + \mathbb{D}_{b^V}, \tag{4.13}$$

for all $\Delta_x \in (\mathsf{D}E)_x$, $x \in M$.

Now, every section a of A determines two vector fields on $\mathsf{D}E$ as follows. Correspondence $\Delta \mapsto [\nabla_a, \Delta]$ is derivation of $\mathsf{D}E$. Hence, it corresponds to a linear vector field Y_a on (the total space of) $\mathsf{D}E$ itself. Section a does also determine another vector field on $\mathsf{D}E$: the vertical lift V_a of $\nabla_a \in \Gamma(\mathsf{D}E)$. Finally, there exists a unique Lie algebroid structure on $\mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$, with anchor ρ_{D}, such that

$$\rho_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{D}a) = Y_a \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\mathsf{D}}(\widehat{b}) = V_b, \tag{4.14}$$

and, additionally,

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathsf{D}a, \mathsf{D}b] &= \mathsf{D}[a, b], \\ [\mathsf{D}a, \widehat{b}] &= \widehat{[a, b]}, \\ [\widehat{a}, \widehat{b}] &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. It easily follows from (4.14) and (4.15) that $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is a VB-algebroid fitting in the exact sequence (4.9). □

In the following, we keep denoting $s_{\mathsf{D}}, t_{\mathsf{D}}, m_{\mathsf{D}}, u_{\mathsf{D}}, i_{\mathsf{D}}$ the structure maps of the groupoid $\mathsf{D}E_G \rightrightarrows \mathsf{D}E$, as in the proof of Proposition 4.10. Similarly, we keep denoting $p_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$ and $\rho_{\mathsf{D}} : \mathsf{D}E_A \to T\mathsf{D}E$ the projection and the anchor respectively. In order to understand the relation between multiplicative and IM derivations for trivial-core VB-groupoids and algebroids, we need to prove that $\mathsf{D}E_A$ is isomorphic to the VB-algebroid of $\mathsf{D}E_G$.

Proposition 4.13 *Let $(E_G, E; G, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid, and let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be its trivial-core VB-algebroid. Then $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ is canonically isomorphic to the VB-algebroid of the VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$.*

Proof: This proof parallels the analogous proof for the tangent VB-algebroid [35]. Denote by $(A_{\mathsf{D}}, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ the VB-algebroid of $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$.

Step 0. Fiber-wise linear sections of $E_A \to A$. First of all notice that, given a smooth function f on G, its *source derivative* $d^s f : a \mapsto a(f)$ is a fiber-wise linear function on A. It is easy to see, e.g. using local coordinates, that every fiber-wise linear function on A arises in this way. Now, a section $E_A \to A$ is *fiber-wise constant* if it is a pull-back section p^*e_0, $e_0 \in \Gamma(E)$, and it is *fiber-wise linear* if it is a morphism of vector bundles $A \to M$ and $E_A \to M$, or, equivalently it is a linear combination of fiber-wise constant sections with fiber-wise linear functions as coefficients. Given a section s of $E_G \to G$, its *source derivative* $\mathbb{D}s : a \mapsto \mathbb{D}_a s$ is a fiber-wise linear section of $E_A \to A$, and every fiber-wise linear section of $E_A \to A$ arises in this way. Recall that we are

denoting by $\mathbb{D}$ the flat $\ker ds$-connection in $E_G \to G$. Clearly, for $f \in C^\infty(G)$ and $e \in \Gamma(E_G, G)$ we have $\mathbb{D}(fe) = d^s f \cdot e + f\mathbb{D}e$. In particular, if e is a pull-back section, i.e. $e = s^*e_0$ for some $e_0 \in \Gamma(E)$ then

$$\mathbb{D}(fe) = \mathbb{D}(fs^*e_0) = d^s f \cdot s^*e_0. \tag{4.16}$$

Step 1. An isomorphism of the anchored double vector bundles $(A_{\mathsf{D}}, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ and $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$. First of all, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$j : A_{\mathsf{D}} = \ker ds_{\mathsf{D}}|_{\mathsf{D}E} \longrightarrow \mathsf{D}E_A$$

of double vector bundles. To see this, let $V \in \ker d_\Delta s_{\mathsf{D}}$ be the tangent vector to a curve $\epsilon \mapsto \Delta_\epsilon$ in $s_{\mathsf{D}}^{-1}(\Delta)$ such that $\Delta_0 = \Delta$, with $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}_x E$, and $x \in M$. In order to define $j(V)$, it is enough to define its action on fiber-wise constant and fiber-wise linear sections of $E_A \to A$. So, put

$$j(V)(p^*e_0) = \Delta e_0 \tag{4.17}$$

for every fiber-wise constant section p^*e_0 of $E_A \to A$, $e_0 \in \Gamma(E)$, and

$$j(V)(\mathbb{D}e) := \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \Delta_\epsilon e, \tag{4.18}$$

for every fiber-wise linear section $\mathbb{D}e$ of $E_A \to A$, $e \in \Gamma(E_G, G)$. It is easy to check that the above two formulas give a well defined derivation $j(V) : \Gamma(E_A, A) \to E_x$, and that correspondence $V \mapsto j(V)$ is actually an isomorphism of double vector bundles.

Finally, denote by $(A_T, TE; A, M)$ the VB-algebroid of the tangent VB-groupoid $(TG, G; TE, M)$. VB-agebroids A_{D} and A_T are intertwined by the source fiber-derivative of the symbol map $\sigma : \mathsf{D}E_G \to TG$. Denote it by $d^s\sigma : A_{\mathsf{D}} \to A_T$. Clearly, diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A_{\mathsf{D}} & \xrightarrow{d^s\sigma} & A_T \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
\mathsf{D}E & & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & & TE \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & A & = & A \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
M & & = & & M
\end{array} \tag{4.19}$$

is a morphism of VB-algebroids. Additionally, as we already outlined in Remark 2.9, there is a canonical isomorphism of VB-algebroid $(A_T, TE; A, M) \simeq (TA, A; TE, M)$. We denote the latter by j again. It immediately follows from the explicit formulas for $j : A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E_A$ and $j : A_T \to TA$ [35] that diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{\mathsf{D}} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathsf{D}E_A \\
{\scriptstyle d^s\sigma}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} \\
A_T & \xrightarrow[j]{} & TA
\end{array} \tag{4.20}$$

is a commuting diagram of double vector bundle morphisms.

Step 2. "Total lift" from sections of A to linear sections of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$. Now, we define a distinguished class of linear sections of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$. Start with a section a of A, and let $\overrightarrow{a}$ be the associated right invariant vector field on G. Applying the functor D to the flow $\{\phi^a_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ of $\overrightarrow{a}$, we get a vector field $\overrightarrow{a_{\mathsf{D}}}$ on $\mathsf{D}E_G$. A straightforward computation, exploiting the explicit form (4.8)

for the multiplication m_{D} in $\mathsf{D}E_G$, shows that $\overrightarrow{a_{\mathsf{D}}}$ is a right invariant vector field as well. Hence it corresponds to a section a_{D} of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$, i.e.

$$(a_{\mathsf{D}})_\Delta := \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \mathsf{D}\phi^a_\epsilon(\Delta)$$

As the flow of $\overrightarrow{a_{\mathsf{D}}}$ consists of automorphisms of the vector bundle $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to A$, then a_{D} is a linear section, i.e. $a_{\mathsf{D}} \in \Gamma_\ell(A_{\mathsf{D}}, \mathsf{D}E)$. Additionally, it is obvious that

$$[a, b]_{\mathsf{D}} = [a_{\mathsf{D}}, b_{\mathsf{D}}] \tag{4.21}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. We want to show that isomorphism $j : A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E_A$ identifies a_{D} and $\mathsf{D}a$ for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$. To see this, recall that a derivation of E_A is completely determined by its symbol and its action on fiber-wise constant sections of $E_A \to A$. It immediately follows from (4.17) that the values $j(a_{\mathsf{D}})_\Delta$ and $(\mathsf{D}a)_\Delta$ of $j(a_{\mathsf{D}})$ and $\mathsf{D}a$ coincide on fiber-wise constant sections for all $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}E$ (they both coincide with Δe_0). As for their symbols, compute

$$\sigma \circ j(a_{\mathsf{D}}) = j(d^s\sigma \circ a_{\mathsf{D}}) = j(a_T) \circ \sigma = da \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \mathsf{D}a, \tag{4.22}$$

where a_T is the linear section of $A_T \to TE$ corresponding to $da : TE \to TA$ via $j : A_T \to TA$, and the second equality follows from the definition of a_{D}.

Step 3. Core sections of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$. Next, we take care of core sections. As j is an isomorphism of double vector bundles, it identifies core sections. In particular, the VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ and the VB-algebroid $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$ share the same core $C = A$, and we want to discuss how does a section b of A give rise to a core section of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$. As above, denote by $\mathbb{D}$ the flat $\ker ds$-connection in $E_G \to G$. For all $x \in M$, derivation $\mathbb{D}_{a_x} : \Gamma(E_G) \to E_x$ belongs to the core of $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$. So a determines a core section a^c of $A_{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{D}E$ by putting (see [35])

$$a^c_\Delta = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \Delta + \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{D}_{a_x} \tag{4.23}$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}_x E$, $x \in M$, where $+$ and $\cdot$ are the addition and the scalar multiplication in the fibers of $\mathsf{D}E_G \to G$. We want to show that $j(a^c) = \widehat{a}$, which can be seen as an explicit description of the isomorphism between the core of $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ (hence of $(A_{\mathsf{D}}, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$) and the core of $(\mathsf{D}E_A, \mathsf{D}E; A, M)$. So, let $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}_x E$, for some $x \in M$. It follows from (4.17) and (4.13) that $j(a^c)_\Delta$ and $\widehat{a}_\Delta$ both vanish on fiber-wise constant sections. So they coincide, provided only they agree on fiber-wise linear sections of $E_A \to A$. Now, take a linear section $\mathbb{D}e$, with $e \in \Gamma(E_G, G)$ and compute

$$j(a^c)_\Delta(\mathbb{D}e) = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} (\Delta + \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{D}_{a_x})e = \mathbb{D}_{a_x} e. \tag{4.24}$$

It is enough to restrict to the case $e = fs^*e_0$, $f \in C^\infty(G)$, and $e_0 \in \Gamma(E)$. In this case

$$j(a^c)_\Delta(\mathbb{D}e) = a(f)e_{0,x} = \mathbb{D}_{a^V}(d^s f \cdot p^* e_0) = (\mathsf{D}0_A(\Delta) + \mathbb{D}_{a^V})(\mathbb{D}e) = \widehat{a}_\Delta(\mathbb{D}e). \tag{4.25}$$

Incidentally, last computation does also show that $j(d^s\sigma \circ a^c) = \sigma \circ j(a^c) = \sigma \circ \widehat{a} = a^V \in \mathfrak{X}(TA)$, the vertical lift of a.

Step 4. j preserves Lie brackets. For the bracket, we can restrict to brackets between core sections and total lifts. Clearly, j preserves the Lie bracket of core sections. It follows from (4.21) and the first one in (4.15) that j does also preserve the Lie bracket of *total lifts* a_{D}, $a \in \Gamma(A)$. It remains to prove that

$$j[a_{\mathsf{D}}, b^c] = \widehat{[a, b]} \tag{4.26}$$

for all $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$. As both sides of (4.26) are core sections of $\mathsf{D}E_A \to \mathsf{D}E$, as discussed above, both $j[a_{\mathsf{D}}, b^c]_\Delta$ and $\widehat{[a,b]}_\Delta$ vanish on fiber-wise constant sections (of $E_A \to A$) for all $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}E$, hence they coincide provided only their symbols coincide. So, let $a, b \in \Gamma(A)$ and compute

$$\sigma \circ j[a_{\mathsf{D}}, b^c] = j[d^s\sigma \circ a_{\mathsf{D}}, d^s\sigma \circ b^c] = [j(a_T), j(d^s\sigma \circ b^c)] = [da, \widehat{b}_T] = \widehat{[a,b]}_T = \sigma \circ \widehat{[a,b]}. \tag{4.27}$$

where we used that $\sigma \circ j = j \circ d^s\sigma$, and that both $d^s\sigma : A_{\mathsf{D}} \to A_T$ and $j : A_T \to TA$ are VB-algebroid morphisms (see diagram (4.20), see also (2.12) for the Lie bracket on sections of $TA \to TM$).

Step 5. j preserves the anchors. Finally, we take care of the anchors. Denote by $\rho' : A_{\mathsf{D}} \to T\mathsf{D}E$ the anchor of A_{D}. We want to show that $\rho' = \rho_{\mathsf{D}} \circ j$. To do this, it is enough to check that ρ' and ρ_{D} agree on total lifts and core sections. We start with core sections. So, let $a \in \Gamma(A)$, let $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}_x E$, $x \in M$, and compute

$$\rho'(a_c)_\Delta = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} t_{\mathsf{D}}(\Delta + \epsilon \cdot \mathbb{D}_{a_x}) = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \Delta + \epsilon \cdot t_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathbb{D}_{a_x}). \tag{4.28}$$

It is easy to see that $t_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathbb{D}_{a_x}) = \nabla_{a_x}$, where ∇ is the flat A-connection in E. Indeed, for all $e \in \Gamma(E)$

$$t_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathbb{D}_{a_x})e = \mathbb{D}_{a_x}(t_E \circ \tau)^* e = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} ((t_E \circ \tau)^* e)_{\phi^a_\epsilon(x)} = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \phi^a_\epsilon(x)^{-1}.e_{t(\phi^a_\epsilon(x))} = \nabla_{a_x} e, \tag{4.29}$$

where, as usual, $\{\phi^a_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ is the flow of $\overrightarrow{a}$, and, as in Section 3.1, we denoted by $t_E : t^*E \to E$ the regular vector bundle morphism induced by $t : G \to M$. We conclude that $\rho'(a_c)_\Delta$ is the vertical lift (at Δ) of ∇_{a_x} for all $\Delta \in \mathsf{D}_x E$, i.e. $\rho'(a_c) = V_a = \rho_{\mathsf{D}}(\widehat{a})$.

As the very last step, compute $\rho'(a_{\mathsf{D}})$:

$$\rho'(a_{\mathsf{D}})_\Delta = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} (t_{\mathsf{D}} \circ \mathsf{D}\phi^a_\epsilon)(\Delta) = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \mathsf{D}(t_E \circ \tau \circ (\phi^a_\epsilon)_E)(\Delta), \tag{4.30}$$

for all Δ as above, where $(\phi^a_\epsilon)_E : E_G \to E_G$ is the regular vector bundle morphisms induced by $\phi^a_\epsilon : G \to G$. Now, denote by $\psi_\epsilon : E \to E$ the composition

$$t_E \circ \tau \circ (\phi^a_\epsilon)_E \circ \tilde{u}, \tag{4.31}$$

where $\tilde{u} : E \to E_G$ is the unit of the groupoid $E_G \rightrightarrows E$. So

$$\rho'(a_{\mathsf{D}})_\Delta = \left.\frac{d}{d\epsilon}\right|_{\epsilon=0} \mathsf{D}\psi_\epsilon(\Delta). \tag{4.32}$$

A direct computation shows that

$$(\psi^*_\epsilon e)_x = \phi^a_\epsilon(x)^{-1}.e_{t(\phi^a_\epsilon(x))} \tag{4.33}$$

for all sections $e \in \Gamma(E)$, and all $x \in M$. This means that $\{\psi_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ is precisely the flow generated by derivation ∇_a of E. It follows that $\{\mathsf{D}\psi_\epsilon\}_\epsilon$ is the flow of Y_a (see the proof of Proposition 4.12), hence $\rho'(a_{\mathsf{D}}) = \rho_{\mathsf{D}}(\mathsf{D}a)$. □

4.3 Multiplicative derivations as multiplicative sections

Recall that a vector field X on a Lie groupoid $G \rightrightarrows M$ is multiplicative iff, when regarded as a section $X : G \to TG$ of $TG \to G$ is a morphism of Lie groupoids. A similar statement holds true for IM vector fields on Lie algebroids. In this section we prove similar results for multiplicative derivations of trivial-core VB-groupoids and IM derivations of trivial-core VB-algebroids.

Remark 4.14 Let $q : V \to N$ be a vector bundle, and let $p : M \to N$ be a smooth map. As above, put $V_M := p^*V$, and denote by $q_M : V_M \to M$ the pull-back vector bundle, and by $\tilde{p}$ the (regular) vector bundle morphism $V_M \to V$, $(x, v) \mapsto v$. The following simple remark will be useful in the proof of Theorem 4.15 below. For any $(x, v) \in V_M$, a tangent vector $X \in T_{(x,v)}V_M$ is completely determined by $d\tilde{p}(X) \in T_vV$ and $dq_M(X) \in T_xM$. Similarly, a derivation $\Delta \in (\mathsf{D}V_M)_x$ is completely determined by $\mathsf{D}\tilde{p}(\Delta) \in (\mathsf{D}V)_{p(x)}$ and $\sigma(\Delta) \in T_xM$.

Theorem 4.15 *Let $(E_G, E; G, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-groupoid. A derivation of $E_G \to G$ is multiplicative iff it is a multiplicative section.*

Proof: Let $G \rightrightarrows M$ be a Lie groupoid, and let $E \to M$ be a vector bundle equipped with a representation of G. Then $(E_G, E; G, M)$ is a trivial-core VB-groupoid with structure maps $\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{m}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{i}$ as in Example 4.2. Similarly the dual representation of G on $E^* \to M$ determines the trivial-core VB-groupoid $(E^*_G, E^*; G, M)$ with structure maps denoted by $\tilde{s}^\dagger, \tilde{t}^\dagger, \tilde{m}^\dagger, \tilde{u}^\dagger, \tilde{i}^\dagger$. Applying Proposition 4.10 to both $(E_G, E; G, M)$ and $(E^*_G, E^*; G, M)$, we get VB-groupoids $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M)$ and $(\mathsf{D}E^*_G, \mathsf{D}E^*; G, M)$ with structure maps denoted by $s_{\mathsf{D}}, t_{\mathsf{D}}, m_{\mathsf{D}}, u_{\mathsf{D}}, i_{\mathsf{D}}$ and $s^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}, t^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}, m^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}, u^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}, i^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}$ respectively. It is straightforward to see that isomorphism $\mathsf{D}E_G \to \mathsf{D}E^*_G$, $\Delta \mapsto \Delta^*$ (see Remark 3.2) is actually a VB-groupoid isomorphism $(\mathsf{D}E_G, \mathsf{D}E; G, M) \to (\mathsf{D}E^*_G, \mathsf{D}E^*; G, M)$ (covering isomorphism $DE \to DE^*$). As a consequence, derivation $\Delta \in \Gamma(\mathsf{D}E_G)$ is a multiplicative section of $\mathsf{D}E_G \to \mathsf{D}E$ iff its "dual" derivation $\Delta^* \in \Gamma(DE^*_G)$ is a multiplicative section of $\mathsf{D}E^*_G \to \mathsf{D}E^*$. So it remains to check that the following conditions are equivalent:

i.) X_Δ is a multiplicative section of the VB-groupoid $(TE_G, TE; G, M)$,

ii.) Δ^* is a multiplicative section of the VB-groupoid $(\mathsf{D}E^*_G, \mathsf{D}E^*; G, M)$.

First show that *i.)* $\Rightarrow$ *ii.)*. Condition *i.)* means that there is a (unique) vector field $\underline{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(E)$ such that

$$d\tilde{s}(X_\Delta)_{(g,e)} = \underline{X}_e, \qquad d\tilde{t}(X_\Delta)_{(g,e)} = \underline{X}_{g.e}, \tag{4.34}$$

for all $(g, e) \in E_G$, and, additionally, for every pair of composable arrows $((g, e), (g', e')) \in (E_G)^{(2)}$, we have

$$(X_\Delta)_{(gg',e')} = d\tilde{m}((X_\Delta)_{(g,e)}, (X_\Delta)_{(g',e')}). \tag{4.35}$$

In this case, it follows from (4.34), that $\underline{X}$ is also a linear vector field, i.e. $\underline{X} = X_{\underline{\Delta}}$ for some (necessarily unique) $\underline{\Delta} \in \Gamma(DE)$. Hence we can rephrase (4.34) in the following equivalent way

$$s^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}\Delta^*_g = \underline{\Delta}^*_{s(g)}, \qquad t^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}\Delta^*_g = \underline{\Delta}^*_{t(g)}, \tag{4.36}$$

for all $g \in G$. In view of Remark 4.14, and the first one of Equations (4.34), Equation (4.35) holds iff both its sides have the same image through the tangent map to projection $q_G : E_G \to G$. As X_Δ is q_G-related to $\sigma(\Delta) = \sigma(\Delta^*)$, in view of (4.3) this is equivalent to

$$\sigma(\Delta^*_{gg'}) = \sigma(m^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}(\Delta^*_g, \Delta^*_{g'})), \tag{4.37}$$

for all $(g, g') \in G^{(2)}$. Finally, from Remark 4.14 again, Equation (4.37), together with the first one of (4.36), gives

$$\Delta^*_{gg'} = m^\dagger_{\mathsf{D}}(\Delta^*_g, \Delta^*_{g'}). \tag{4.38}$$

for all $(g, g') \in G^{(2)}$. Equations (4.36) and (4.38) exactly mean that Condition *ii.)* holds. Implication *ii.)* $\Rightarrow$ *i.)* can be proved by retracing the above steps in the reverse order. □

Theorem 4.16 *Let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be a trivial-core VB-algebroid. A derivation of $E_A \to A$ is IM iff it is an IM section.*

PROOF: Let Δ be a derivation of $E_A \to A$ and let $X_\Delta \in \mathfrak{X}(E_A)$ be the corresponding linear vector field. Regard Δ as a section of $DE_A \to A$, and X_Δ as a section of $E_A \to TE_A$. In view of Remark 3.16 it is enough to show that Δ is an IM section of $DE_A \to A$ iff X_Δ is an IM section of $E_A \to TE_A$. This can be checked with a long, but straightforward, computation in local coordinates, that we sketch below.

Let (x^i) be local coordinates, let (e_A) be a local basis of $\Gamma(E)$, and let (v^A) be the associated, linear fiber coordinates on E. Similarly, let (ϵ_α) be a local basis of $\Gamma(A)$, and let (u^α) be the associated, linear, fiber coordinates on A. So E_A is coordinatized by (x^i, u^α, v^A). A derivation $\Delta : \Gamma(E) \to E_x$ of $E \to M$ at the point $x \in M$, is locally of the form

$$\Delta(f^A e_A) = \left(\dot{x}^i \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right|_x f^B + f^A(x) v^B_A \right) e_{B,x}$$

for some real numbers $(\dot{x}^i, v^B_A)$ that serve as linear, fiber coordinates on the total space of $DE \to M$. Note that $\sigma(\Delta) = \dot{x}^i \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right|_x$. Similarly, a derivation $\Delta : \Gamma(E_A) \to E_x$ of $E_A \to A$ at the point $a \in A$, is locally of the form

$$\Delta(f^A p^* e_A) = \left(\dot{x}^i \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right|_a f^B + \dot{u}^\alpha \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}\right|_a f^B + f^A(x) v^B_A \right) e_{B,x}$$

for some real numbers $(\dot{x}^i, \dot{u}^\alpha, v^B_A)$ that serve as linear, fiber coordinates on the total space of $DE_A \to A$, and $\sigma(\Delta) = \dot{x}^i \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right|_a + \dot{u}^\alpha \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}\right|_a$.

The Lie algebroid structure in $A \to M$ is encoded by the de Rham differential d_A in $\Gamma(\wedge^\bullet A^*) = \wedge^\bullet \Gamma(A)^*$ which does locally look like:

$$d_A = \rho^i_\alpha u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha},$$

for some functions (ρ^i_α) encoding the anchor $\rho : A \to TM$, and some (structure) functions $(c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma})$ encoding the Lie bracket in $\Gamma(A)$. Finally, the flat A-connection ∇ in E is locally encoded by "Christoffel symbols" $(\Gamma_\alpha{}^B_A)$ such that

$$\nabla_{\epsilon_\alpha} e_A = \Gamma_\alpha{}^B_A e_B.$$

It is now straightforward to check that the Lie algebroid structure in $E_A \to E$ is encoded by a de Rham differential d_{E_A} in $\wedge^\bullet \Gamma(E_A, E)^*$ locally given by:

$$d_{E_A} = \rho^i_\alpha u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_\alpha{}^B_A v^B u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial v^B} - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha}. \tag{4.39}$$

The (tangent) Lie algebroid structure in $TE_A \to TE$ is then encoded by a differential d_{TE_A} in $\wedge^\bullet \Gamma(TE_A, TE)^*$ locally given by the "total lift" of (4.39), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{TE_A} = {} & \rho^i_\alpha u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho^i_\alpha}{x^j} \dot{x}^j u^\alpha + \rho^i_\alpha \dot{u}^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \\ & - \Gamma_\alpha{}^B_A v^B u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial v^B} - \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_\alpha{}^A_B}{\partial x^i} \dot{x}^i v^B u^\alpha + \Gamma_\alpha{}^A_B \dot{v}^B u^\alpha + \Gamma_\alpha{}^A_B v^B \dot{u}^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{v}^A} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - \left(c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge \dot{u}^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial x^i} \dot{x}^i u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{u}^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally the Lie algebroid structure in $DE_A \to DE$ is encoded by a differential d_{DE_A} in $\wedge^\bullet\Gamma(DE_A, DE)^*$ locally given by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{DE_A} = {} & \rho^i_\alpha u^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \left(\frac{\partial \rho^i_\alpha}{x^j} \dot{x}^j u^\alpha + \rho^i_\alpha \dot{u}^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}^i} \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha B}^{\ \ A}}{\partial x^i} \dot{x}^i u^\alpha + \Gamma_{\alpha B}^{\ \ C} v^A_C u^\alpha - \Gamma_{\alpha C}^{\ \ A} v^C_B u^\alpha + \Gamma_{\alpha B}^{\ \ A} \dot{u}^\alpha \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_B} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} - \left(c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} u^\beta \wedge \dot{u}^\gamma + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial x^i} \dot{x}^i u^\beta \wedge u^\gamma \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{u}^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let Δ be a section of $DE_A \to A$ locally given by

$$\Delta : \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}^i & = & X^i(x,u) \\ \dot{u}^\alpha & = & U^i(x,u) \\ v^A_B & = & V^A_B(x,u) \end{array} \right. .$$

The associated linear vector field on E_A is a section X_Δ of $TE_A \to E_A$ locally given by

$$X_\Delta : \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x}^i & = & X^i(x,u) \\ \dot{u}^\alpha & = & U^i(x,u) \\ \dot{v}^A & = & -V^A_B(x,u) v^B \end{array} \right. .$$

By definition, Δ is an IM section iff

$(IM1)$ Δ is a morphism of the vector bundles $A \to M$ and $DE_A \to DE$, and

$(IM2)$ $\Delta^\dagger \circ d_{DE_A} = d_A \circ \Delta^\dagger$,

where $\Delta^\dagger : \wedge^\bullet\Gamma(DE_A, DE)^* \to \wedge^\bullet\Gamma(A)^*$ is the obvious graded algebra map induced by Δ in view of $(IM1)$. Similarly, X_Δ is an IM section iff

$(IM'1)$ X_Δ is a morphism of the vector bundles $E_A \to E$ and $TE_A \to TE$, and

$(IM'2)$ $X^\dagger_\Delta \circ d_{TE_A} = d_{E_A} \circ X^\dagger_\Delta$,

where $X^\dagger_\Delta : \wedge^\bullet\Gamma(TE_A, TE)^* \to \wedge^\bullet\Gamma(E_A, E)^*$ is the graded algebra map induced by X_Δ in view of $(IM'1)$. A direct computation shows that $(IM1)$ and $(IM'1)$ are both equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} X^i &= X^i(x) \\ V^A_B &= V^A_B(x) \\ U^\alpha &= U^\alpha_\beta(x) u^\beta \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $(IM'1)$ and $(IM'2)$ are both equivalent to the following system of PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^j_\alpha \frac{\partial X^i}{\partial x^j} &= \frac{\partial \rho^i_\alpha}{\partial x^i} X^i + \rho^i_\beta U^\beta_\alpha \\ \rho^i_\alpha \frac{\partial V^A_B}{\partial x^i} &= \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\alpha B}^{\ \ A}}{\partial x^i} X^i + \Gamma_{\alpha B}^{\ \ C} V^A_C - \Gamma_{\alpha C}^{\ \ A} V^C_B + \Gamma_{\beta A}^{\ \ B} U^\beta_\alpha \\ \rho^i_\beta \frac{\partial U^\alpha_\gamma}{\partial x^i} - \rho^i_\gamma \frac{\partial U^\alpha_\beta}{\partial x^i} &= c^\alpha_{\delta\beta} U^\delta_\gamma - c^\alpha_{\delta\gamma} U^\delta_\beta + c^\delta_{\beta\gamma} U^\alpha_\delta - \frac{\partial c^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial x^i} X^i \end{aligned}$$

□

We conclude specializing Theorem 3.19 to the case of a trivial-core VB-algebroid. This provides a more algebraic description of infinitesimal automorphisms and IM derivations in this special case.

Proposition 4.17 *Let $(E_A, E; A, M)$ be the trivial-core VB-algebroid corresponding to a representation E of A with flat A-connection ∇. There is a one-to-one correspondence between*

- *infinitesimal automorphisms of $(E_A, E; A, M)$,*
- *IM derivations of $E_A \to A$,*
- *pairs (δ_A, δ_E) consisting of*

  i.) a differential δ_A of the Lie algebroid A,

  ii.) a derivation δ_E of E, such that

$$\sigma(\delta_A) = \sigma(\delta_E), \tag{4.40}$$

  and

$$[\delta_E, \nabla_a] = \nabla_{\delta_A a}, \tag{4.41}$$

  for all $a \in \Gamma(A)$.

PROOF: It immediately follows from the fact that the fat algebroid is simply A in this trivial-core case, and the side representation is just E equipped with flat A-connection ∇. □

Notice that derivations δ_A, δ_E of Proposition 4.17 combine into a differential (δ_A, δ_E) of the action Lie algebroid $A \ltimes E$.

5 Perspectives

This is the first in a series of papers devoted to exploring multidifferential operators in the realm of Lie groupoids and algebroids. Here we discussed one of the simplest possible type of operators: derivations, i.e. first order, linear differential operators with scalar type symbol. Despite their simplicity, they are rather important, as they encode infinitesimal automorphisms of vector bundles. We investigated, in some details, the most natural compatibility condition between a derivation of a VB-groupoid/algebroid and the groupoid/algebroid structure. Following the current literature on geometric structures over Lie groupoids, we called such condition *multiplicativity*. In the case of a trivial-core VB-groupoid/algebroid, multiplicative derivations can be seen as morphisms between two suitable Lie groupoids/algebroids.

In the next paper of the series [15] we will explore multiplicative multiderivations, i.e. multidifferential operators on VB-groupoids/algebroids, which are derivations in each entry, and satisfy an additional compatibility condition with the groupoid/algebroid structure. Special instances of VB-groupoids equipped with a multiplicative biderivation come from *contact groupoids*, or, more generally, *Jacobi groupoids* (in particular *Poisson groupoids*) and *LA-groupoids*, i.e. *Lie algebroid objects in the category of Lie groupoids*, which already appeared several times in the literature, together with their infinitesimal counterparts, *LA-algebroids* (see, e.g. [5, 33, 34, 38, 39, 43]).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Iakovos Androulidakis for useful discussions. AGT is partially supported by GNSAGA of INdAM, Italy. LV is member of the GNSAGA of INdAM, Italy.

References

[1] Arias Abad, C., Crainic, M.: *Representations up to homotopy of Lie algebroids.* J. Reine Angew. Math. **663** (2012), 91–126. (Cited on p. 23.)

[2] Arias Abad, C., Crainic, M.: *Representations up to homotopy and Bott's spectral sequence for Lie groupoids.* Adv. Math. **248** (2013), 416–452. (Cited on p. 3, 23.)

[3] Bruce, A. J., Grabowska, K., Grabowski, J.: *Remarks on contact and Jacobi geometry.* preprint arXiv:1507.05405 (2015). (Cited on p. 4.)

[4] Bursztyn, H., Cabrera, A.: *Multiplicative forms at the infinitesimal level.* Math. Ann. **353**.3 (2012), 663–705. (Cited on p. 3.)

[5] Bursztyn, H., Cabrera, A., del Hoyo, M.: *Vector bundles over Lie groupoids and algebroids.* Adv. Math. **290** (2016), 163–207. (Cited on p. 3, 4, 5, 9, 15, 34.)

[6] Costa, B. T., Forger, M., Pegas, L. H.: *Lie Groupoids in Classical Field Theory I: Noether's Theorem.* preprint arXiv:1508.04632 (2015). (Cited on p. 4.)

[7] Coste, A., Dazord, P., Weinstein, A.: *Groupoïdes symplectiques.* Pub. Dép. Math. Lyon **2A** (1987), 1–62. (Cited on p. 3.)

[8] Crainic, M., Mestre, J. N., Struchiner, I.: *Deformations of Lie groupoids.* preprint arXiv:1510.02530 (2015). (Cited on p. 3, 17, 18, 19, 20.)

[9] Crainic, M., Moerdijk, I.: *Deformations of Lie brackets: cohomological aspects.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **10** (2008), 1037–1059. (Cited on p. 3, 18, 19, 20.)

[10] Crainic, M., Salazar, M. A., Struchiner, I.: *Multiplicative forms and Spencer operators.* Math. Z. **279** (2015), 939–979. (Cited on p. 3, 4.)

[11] Dazord, P.: *Intégration d'algèbres de Lie locales et groupoïdes de contact.* C. R. Acad. Sci Paris, Sér. I **320** (1995), 959–964. (Cited on p. 3.)

[12] De Paris, A., Vinogradov, A. M.: *Fat manifolds and linear connections.* World Scientific, 2009. (Cited on p. 10.)

[13] Drinfel'd, V. G.: *Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk **268**.2 (1983), 285–287. (Cited on p. 3.)

[14] Drummond, T., Jotz Lean, M., Ortiz, C.: *VB-algebroid morphisms and representations up to homotopy.* Differential Geom. Appl. **40** (2015), 332–357. (Cited on p. 3.)

[15] Esposito, C., Tortorella, A. G., Vitagliano, L.: *Multiplicative multiderivations on VB-groupoids and algebroids* (in preparation). (Cited on p. 4, 34.)

[16] Grabowska K., Grabowski, J., Urbański, P.: *Lie Brackets on Affine Bundles.* Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **24** (2003), 101–130. (Cited on p. 19.)

[17] Grabowski, J., Rotkiewicz, M.: *Higher vector bundles and multi-graded symplectic manifolds.* J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 1285–1305. (Cited on p. 9.)

[18] Gracia-Saz, A., Mehta, R. A.: *Lie algebroid structures on double vector bundles and representation theory of Lie algebroids.* Adv. Math. **223**.4 (2010), 1236–1275. (Cited on p. 3, 4, 5, 16, 23, 24.)

[19] Gracia-Saz, A., Mehta, R. A.: *VB-groupoids and representation theory of Lie groupoids.* preprint arXiv:1007.3658 (2010). to appear in J. Symplectic Geom. (Cited on p. 3, 4, 5, 23, 24.)

[20] Iglesias-Ponte, D., Laurent-Gengoux, C., Xu, P.: *Universal lifting theorem and quasi-Poisson groupoids.* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **14**.3 (2012), 681–731. (Cited on p. 3, 14, 16.)

[21] Iglesias-Ponte, D., Marrero, J. C.: *Generalized Lie bialgebroids and Jacobi structures.* J. Geom. Phys. **40** (2001), 176–199. (Cited on p. 4.)

[22] Iglesias-Ponte, D., Marrero, J. C.: *Generalized Lie bialgebras and Jacobi structures on Lie groups.* Israel J. Math. **133** (2003), 285–320. (Cited on p. 3.)

[23] Iglesias-Ponte, D., Marrero, J. C.: *Jacobi groupoids and generalized Lie bialgebroids.* J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), 385–425. (Cited on p. 4.)

[24] Jotz Lean, M.: *The leaf space of a multiplicative foliation.* J. Geom. Mech. **4**.3 (2012), 313–332. (Cited on p. 3.)

[25] Jotz Lean, M.: *Dirac groupoids and Dirac bialgebroids.* preprint arXiv:1403.2934 (2014). (Cited on p. 3.)

[26] Jotz Lean, M., Ortiz., C.: *Foliated groupoids and infinitesimal ideal systems.* Indag. Math. **25** (2014), 1019–1053. (Cited on p. 3.)

[27] Jotz Lean, M., Stiénon, M., Xu, P.: *Glanon groupoids.* Math. Ann. **364** (2016), 485–518. (Cited on p. 3.)

[28] Kerbrat, Y., Souici-Benhammadi, Z.: *Variétés de Jacobi et groupoïdes de contact.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **317** (1993), 81–86. (Cited on p. 3.)

[29] Kosmann-Schwarzbach, Y.: *Multiplicativity, from Lie groups to generalized geometry.* preprint arXiv:1511.02491 (2015). to appear in Banach Center Publications. (Cited on p. 3.)

[30] Lê, H. V., Oh, Y.-G., Tortorella, A. G., Vitagliano, L.: *Deformations of coisotropic submanifolds in Jacobi manifolds.* preprint arXiv:1410.8446 (2014). (Cited on p. 4, 20.)

[31] Lê, H. V., Tortorella, A. G., Vitagliano, L.: *Jacobi bundles and the BFV-complex.* preprint arXiv:1601.04540 (2016). (Cited on p. 20.)

[32] Libermann, P.: *On symplectic and contact groupoids.* In: *Differential Geometry and its applications.*, 29–45. Silesian University, Opava, 1993. (Cited on p. 3.)

[33] Mackenzie, K. C. H.: *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. I.* Adv. Math. **94**.2 (1992), 180–239. (Cited on p. 26, 34.)

[34] Mackenzie, K. C. H.: *Double Lie algebroids and second-order geometry. II.* Adv. Math. **154**.1 (2000), 46–75. (Cited on p. 34.)

[35] Mackenzie, K. C. H.: *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, vol. 213. in *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.* Cambridge University Press, 2005. (Cited on p. 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 26, 27, 28, 29.)

[36] Mackenzie, K. C. H., Xu, P.: *Classical lifting processes and multiplicative vector fields.* Q. J. Math. **49**.1 (1998) 59–85. (Cited on p. 3, 5, 14, 15, 16.)

[37] Marle, C.-M.: *On Jacobi manifolds and Jacobi bundles.* In: Dazord, P., Weinstein, A. (eds.): *Symplectic Geometry, Groupoids, and Integrable Systems*, vol. 20 in *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, 227–246. Springer, 1991. (Cited on p. 3.)

[38] Mehta, R. A.: *Q-algebroids and their cohomology.* J. Symplectic Geom. **7** (2009), 263–293. (Cited on p. 34.)

[39] Mehta, R. A.: *Q-groupoids and their cohomology.* Pacific J. Math. **242** (2009), 311–332. (Cited on p. 34.)

[40] Ortiz, C.: *Multiplicative Dirac structures on Lie groups.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **346** (2008), 1279–1282. (Cited on p. 3.)

[41] Ortiz, C.: *Multiplicative Dirac structures.* Pacific J. Math. **266** (2013), 329–365. (Cited on p. 3.)

[42] Salazar, M. A.: *Pfaffian groupoids.* PhD thesis, University of Utrecht, 2013. (Cited on p. 4.)

[43] Stefanini, L.: *On the integration of $\mathcal{LA}$-groupoids and duality for Poisson groupoids.* Trav. Math. **17** (2007), 39–59. (Cited on p. 34.)

[44] Stiénon, M. and Xu, P.: *Poisson quasi-Nijenhuis manifolds.* Comm. Math. Phys. **270** (2007), 709–725. (Cited on p. 3.)

[45] Vitagliano, L.: *Dirac-Jacobi Bundles.* preprint arXiv:1502.05420 (2015). to appear in J. Symplectic Geom. (Cited on p. 4.)

[46] Vitagliano, L.: *Representations of homotopy Lie-Rinehart algebras.* Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **158** (2015), 155–191. (Cited on p. 22.)

[47] Vitagliano, L., Wade, A.: *Generalized contact bundles.* C. R. Math. **354**.3 (2016), 313–317. (Cited on p. 25.)

[48] Weinstein, A.: *Symplectic groupoids and Poisson manifolds.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1987), 523–557. (Cited on p. 3.)

[49] Yudilevich, O.: *Lie Pseudogroups à la Cartan from a modern perspective.* PhD thesis, University of Utrecht, 2016. (Cited on p. 4.)

[50] Zakrzewski, S.: *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. I. Union pseudogroups and their quantization.* Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 347–370. (Cited on p. 3.)

[51] Zakrzewski, S.: *Quantum and classical pseudogroups. II. Differential and symplectic pseudogroups.* Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 371–395. (Cited on p. 3.)